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Abstract
Metal whiskers can grow across leads of electric equipment causing short circuits, arcing, and raising significant reliability issues. The nature of metal whiskers remains a mystery after several decades of research. Here, their existence is attributed to the energy gain due to electrostatic polarization of metal filaments in the electric field induced by a metal surface. The field is induced by surface imperfections: contaminations, oxide states, grain boundaries, etc. This theory provides closed form expressions and quantitative estimates for the whisker nucleation and growth rates, explains whisker parameters and predicts statistical distribution of their lengths. The details of the underlying mathematical treatments have been presented in a recent publication[1]. Here, the emphasis is on a more intuitive level, and the references are omitted.

Metal whiskers are hair-like protrusions observed at surfaces of some metals; tin and zinc examples are illustrated in Figure 1. In spite of being omnipresent and leading to multiple failure modes in the electronics industry, the mechanism behind metal whiskers remains unknown after more than 60 years of research. While not formally proclaimed, some consensus, at a rather qualitative level, is that whiskers can represent a stress relief phenomenon. However, that never led to any quantitative description including order-of-magnitude estimates of whisker parameters.

http://iconnect007.uberflip.com/i/455818/28
As a brief survey of relevant data, it should be noted that whiskers grow up to ~1–10 mm in length and vary from ~100 nm to ~30 μm in thickness. Their parameters are characterized by broad statistical distributions: side by side with fast-growing whiskers there can be others, on the same surface, whose growth is much slower or completely stalled. The metal surface conditions play a significant role. In particular, oxide structure and various contaminations are important factors determining whisker concentration, growth rate and dimensions. The metal grain size appears to be less significant for small grains (nanometers to few microns), while whiskers are unlikely for very large grains, recrystallization can be of importance. Various additives can have significant effects on whisker growth, such as Pb strongly suppressing tin whiskering. Electric bias was reported to exponentially increase whisker growth rate, which was attributed to the effects of electric current, although other publications reported no bias effect on whisker growth and even the negative effect of bias suppressed whiskering. A common observation is that whiskers grow from the root rather than from the tip, and the material required for their growth is supplied from large distances through long range surface diffusion rather than from a narrow neighboring proximity; there is no surrounding dent formed in the course of whisker growth.

More appealing is an informal list of observations given below with the permission of its author, Dr. Gordon Davy. It reflects the perspectives and the spirit of the live whisker research, shared by many in the community of Tin Whisker Group teleconferences. It has proved extremely useful for the author of this paper allowing multiple comparisons between the theory and the experiment.

- There are no “tin whisker experts.” Workers in the field differ only in their degree of perplexity in the face of so many inconsistencies.
- Nominally identical specimens may demonstrate drastically different densities and growth rates.
- Density may differ greatly from one region of a specimen to another; on a finer scale, there is a whisker growing here, but not there.
- Growth is at the base (i.e., the film), not the tip.
- Growth may be from the tin-substrate interface or from near the tin surface.
- Growth rate is often not constant. A whisker may stop growing for a while, then start growing again.
- Growth rate is zero at low and high temperatures, and seems to peak at about 25–50°C.

Figure 1: SEM pictures of tin (left) and zinc (right) whiskers (courtesy of the NASA Electronic Parts and Packaging [NEPP] Program).
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- Growth can be promoted by thermal cycling.
- Growth rate is zero below a threshold film thickness and approaches zero for high film thickness. It appears to be zero for bulk tin.
- For sputtered films, the growth rate appears to be a minimum for near-zero residual stress, and greater for tensile as well as compressive stress.
- Growth rate is somewhat higher at high humidity.
- Growth rate seems to be higher from fine-grained microstructure.
- Growth rate can be increased by some kinds of residues on the surface.
- Most metals dissolved in tin appear to increase growth rate. The one exception is Pb. The mechanism may have to do with altering the grain structure to equiaxed (from columnar).
- I do not recall hearing of the effect of small amounts of Pb (~1%) in Sn for vapor-deposited films, or even for very thin electroplated films.
- Distribution of thickness and length are log-normal.
- There appears to be no correlation between thickness and length.
- Median thickness is about 3 μm.
- Longest whisker reported: ~25 mm.
- Thinnest and thickest whiskers reported: ~100 nm, ~20 μm.
- Various growth morphologies: needle-like, odd-shaped eruptions, occasional branches, and there may longitudinal or circumferential striations. Acicular (needle-like) whiskers may be bent or kinked, and may not have the same thickness along the entire length.
- Long whiskers are in constant motion in air—can be compared to Brownian motion.
- Whiskers have an oxide coating ~1–3 nm thick, even in vacuum. (Growth rate is logarithmic.)
- A whisker that melts exits the skin, leaving it behind.
- Whiskers penetrate even a thick oxide film (grown by prolonged exposure to steam).
- Whiskers eventually penetrate polymer (including Parylene) coatings, with the apparent exception of “whisker-tough.”
- Whiskers appear to not penetrate thin caps of certain metals, and readily penetrate thicker caps of other metals.
- Whiskers appear to not penetrate thin films of tailored ceramics produced by chemical vapor deposition if the substrate has been properly prepped.

To emphasize the most challenging questions, here is the author’s short list:

- A mystery of high aspect ratios, height/diameter up to ~10,000 not seen in other physics. Why wouldn’t metal whiskers collapse into spheres, as other droplets do to minimize surface energy?
- Is their relation to metals of essence? In other words, why are metal whiskers metal?
- What is behind the metal whiskers randomness? Why do they grow here but not there, why are their parameters so dispersed, and what makes it so difficult to controllably grow or predict their appearance?
- What does Pb do in suppressing whiskers?

Multiple attempts to understand the mechanisms of whiskers growth revolved around the role of surface stresses relieved by whisker production, dislocation effects, oxygen reactions, and recrystallization. It was shown stress gradients along with certain assumptions about system parameters can explain tin whisker growth rates but not their existence, shapes and statistics. Overall, these attempts have not led to verifiable quantitative predictions.

The 60-year old whisker challenge thus remains outstanding against the background of other historical developments in natural sciences. As an example, the fundamentally new phenomenon of superconductivity was discovered in 1911 and explained in 1957, taking a shorter time to understand than metal whiskers, in spite of being the first encounter of the macroscopic quantum phenomena physics. This remarkable elusiveness of the metal whisker
problem warrants new theoretical approaches. They need to be made quantitative in order to allow experimental verifications and satisfy the standard scientific criteria.

This paper discusses one such approach based on the electrostatics of metal surfaces. It may appear rather contradictory in the light of a common perception (taught in the undergraduate physics) that neutral metal surfaces cannot have electric charges or fields. We will see however that the latter is true only for ideal metal surfaces (containing no imperfections, such as grain boundaries, contamination, dislocations, etc.), and that real metal surfaces can present rich electrostatics including strong electric fields significantly varying across the surface. A theory of metal whiskers presented here is consistent with many published observations and provides some quantitative analytical results. The appearance of whiskers is described as the electric field induced nucleation of needle-shaped particles. It is triggered by the energy gain $F_E = -p \cdot E$ due to the induced whisker dipole $p = \alpha E$ in the electric field $E$, where $\alpha$ is the polarizability. The latter is anomalously strong for the needle geometry. The nucleated whiskers continue growing to further decrease their energies in the electric field.

1. More specifically, the theory is based on the concept of strong electric fields, $E \leq 0.01-1$ MV/cm, in the sub-micron proximity of metal surfaces. Such fields can be generated by surface imperfections including “wrong” grain orientations, deformations, oxides, dislocations, or contamination. The importance of this concept is that it offers a unique pattern consistent with the observed wide variety of factors, in the first glance unrelated to each other, all having strong effects on whisker growth: stresses of mechanical and electric nature, material morphology and composition, surface contaminations, including the effects of humidity. According to that concept, all these factors are responsible for significant electric fields in the near surface region of a metal. The surface electric field becomes a common denominator of whisker driving forces. This new hypothesis remains to be carefully tested against all the available data and focused experiments including purposely created electric fields.

2. Being overall neutral these metal surfaces are composed of oppositely charged patches formed as a result of electron redistribution minimizing the system free energy. The patches are characterized by certain surface charge density and dimensions $L \sim 1-10$ μm, maybe even shorter, $\sim 0.1$ mm, for fine crystalline grain structure. These patches form a sort of random chess board as shown in the right display of Figure 2.

3. The phenomenon of whisker nucleation and growth is attributed to the electrostatic energy gain due to strong polarization of the newly created needle-shaped metal particles. The conception of whiskers is described based on the (earlier developed) theory of field induced nucleation that predicts needle-shaped embryos $h \leq 100$ nm in length and $d \sim 1-10$ nm in diameter. The mechanism is quite similar to that explaining the fact that amber or plastic comb attract small pieces of paper: The electric field polarized small particles making them electric

![Figure 2: A sketch of the electric field E near a metal surface, cross-sectional (left) and 3D (right) views.](image)
dipoles with energy \(-pE\) in the driving them in the region of stronger field \(E\). It is important that the energy gain due to formation of a polarized metal needle does not depend on the field sign as illustrated in Figure 3. The dipole vector \(p\) is parallel to the field vector \(E\), so the product \(-pE\) is negative. Another wording would be to say that like charges repel producing an outward stress. It is relieved by expelling some of these charges outward via creating a metal whisker with the expelled charge sitting at its far end. These nucleation events take place most easily where the metal surface is locally weak.

It is worth mentioning that the existence of strongly anisotropic stretched (needle-shaped) metallic particles is due to their strong polarization gain in the external electric field. In turn, that gain is a consequence of their large dipole moments due to considerable dipole length. As a result, long enough particles become energetically favorable in the external field in spite of the fact that their surfaces are much greater than that of an equivalent volume sphere. The needle-shaped metallic particles dominate when the field is greater than a certain critical value as illustrated in Figure 4. This consideration provides a physical mechanism of the very existence of extremely high aspect ratio metal whiskers not collapsing into spherical shapes of equivalent volumes. Their energetically favorable shapes are dictated by the existing electric fields. According to this understanding, whiskers would inevitably collapse into spherical-like shapes in the absence of external electric fields.

4. Following the nucleation is the growth stage where whiskers increase their length by accretion of material at their bases. The growth kinetics are different for whisker lengths below and above the characteristic charge patch dimension \(L\). In particular, growth rates turn out to be extremely low for short \((h<<L)\) whiskers. This dormant time period \(t_0\) can be empirically identified as “no whisker present.” However, whisker growth rates abruptly increase when they approach and overgrow the patch dimen-

---

**Figure 3:** Sketch of two whiskers of length \(h\) and diameter \(d\) on a metal surface with local electric fields \(E\) (of opposite directions) inducing the dipole moments \(p\). Like charges repel producing an outward stress. Where the material is weak enough, whiskers can grow. They provide polarization electrostatic energy gain \(-pE\).

**Figure 4:** Competition between the surface tension and the electrostatic polarization.
sion L, after which the growth rate remains on average constant. The physical reason of that acceleration is that the longer the whisker the stronger the electrostatic energy gain and its corresponding thermodynamic force pulling the whisker. This process can be explosive (positive feedback) in nature: The stronger the force, the faster the growth, the greater the length, and the stronger the force, etc., as long as the force increases with length (for $h<L$ when the field is more or less uniform). However, in the range of $h>>L$, the field appears strongly fluctuating (Figure 2) which significantly suppresses the pulling force. As a result, the pulling force becomes independent of whisker length (Figure 5). That distant independent average force results in constant average growth rates.

The predicted time dependent growth rate is sketched in Figure 6. The dormant time $t_0$ corresponds to whisker development over short distances $h<L$. As explained in the preceding paragraph, growth accelerates as $h->L$. For the overgrown whiskers with $h>>L$, the growth rate becomes time independent on average.

5. The latter statement of constant growth rate for whisker lengths $h>>L$ holds true on average. In reality, a whisker encounters a random distribution of fields in the course of its growth as can be realized from Figure 4. A whisker head passes through multiple regions of various directions and strengths. Correspondingly, the whisker length growth rate fluctuates between low and high values. It can be shown that the most significant electrostatic energy gain is achieved via the increase of whisker length rather than the diameter. Therefore, when the material supply is limited, the energy gain will dictate that whiskers grow in length as much as possible. However, when the length growth is suppressed

---

Figure 5: Top left: free energy vs. whisker length $h$ where the downhill slope corresponds to the growth stage of whiskers; $W$ is the nucleation barrier, $h_0$ is the critical nucleation dimension of the needle-shaped embryo. The shape of that slope is determined by the charged patch model shown to the right of the free energy plot where $r$ is the distance from a metal surface and $L$ is the characteristic patch dimension. Bottom: the free energy vs. whisker length with the marked regions of qualitatively different dependencies.
in some local regions, the further energy gain can be achieved via the diameter increase (i.e., whiskers get thicker intermittently with the periods of faster length increase). A snapshot of many such whisker lengths and diameters will therefore show broad statistical distributions that are mutually uncorrelated.

Figure 7 illustrates the process of whisker growth in a 2D fashion where the color coding is such that upward and downward local electric field directions are shown in respectively red and blue. The sign fluctuations of the field at large distances shown in Figure 5 do not eliminate the polarization energy gain. Indeed, consider a long metal whisker as a succession of many small metal rods, each occupying a small range of more or less constant field. They have local dipole moments $p=aE$, and partial electrostatic energies $pE=aE^2$ that are quadratic in field and do not cancel each other. Taking into account the explanation in Figures 3 and 5, it is intuitively clear that the maximum polarization and electrostatic energy gain are achieved for the “color-matched” whiskers (all in blue or all in red). The corresponding pathways for whisker growth can be kinked in order to collect as many as possible color matched regions. This explains how whiskers can be kinked. It should be noted however that each kink entails certain deformation energy loss, so the whisker geometry will optimize between the gain in electrostatic energy and loss in deformation energy due to kinking. (These subtle features remain unaccounted quantitatively in the current electrostatic whisker theory.) Furthermore, some configurations of color-matched regions present pathways parallel or partially parallel to the surface; this explains the observed longitudinal or circumferential striations shown in dash in Figure 7.

6. In the course of growing at $h>>L$, whiskers encounter rare local regions of abnormally low electric fields where its further growth is blocked. The blockage is due to the fact that further growth in these low field regions cannot outweigh the energy loss due to increase in surface area: the latter increase presents a signifi-
cant energy barrier. The statistics of these blocking regions (barriers) determines the whisker length statistics. It is derived to be close to log-normal in the proximity of the most likely sizes, while decaying much faster for sizes well above the average. It should be emphasized however that such blocking energy barriers have finite heights \( W_B \). Therefore, they can be overcome after significant waiting times \( t_W = t_0 \exp(W_B / kT) \) allowing for sufficient number of attempt-to-escape events, each taking time \( t_0 \) where \( kT \) is the thermal energy. This explains the observation of whiskers resuming growth after a considerable time (approaching a year) of lethargy. Based on this reasoning and using the standard techniques of the physics of random systems, it is possible to derive the probabilistic distribution of whisker length. Its explicit mathematical form turns out to be cumbersome; however, the qualitative features are quite simple and are illustrated in Figure 9.

7. The concept of field induced nucleation and growth of metal filaments is neither unique nor very new; in a quantitative form it was put forward in the recently developed field induced nucleation theory. Here we would like to mention some applications of that concept concerning objects that may be related to metal whiskers in their underlying physics.

Our first example is represented by the shunting entities observed in various electronic elements (mostly in semiconductor and insulator films) that are conductive filaments developed in the host of relatively insulating materials subject to electric bias. There is a considerable R&D activity, often in industrial settings, including different technologies, such as microelectronics, thin film photovoltaics, light emitting diodes, etc., in the course of which the problem is considered from different points of view, often ignoring a possibility of common mechanism based on the field induced electrostatic energy gain.

Side by side with the detrimental effects of shunting, there is a strong research effort to understand possible beneficial effects of formation of conductive filaments under electric bias. They include, first of all, the technologies of phase change and resistive memories, where the structure states with and without the conductive filament are used as the two logic states of the memory element. Some illustrations are given in Figure 10.

Shown in Figure 11 are needle-like structures that appear on the surface of a liquid metal in a strong perpendicular electric field. The nature

---

Figure 8: In the flat portion of the electrostatic free energy \( F_E \), the whisker surface energy \( F_A \) forms a barrier \( W_B \) in the total whisker energy \( F = F_A + F_E \). In this diagram, \( W \) is the whisker nucleation barrier.

Figure 9: An example of the predicted probabilistic distribution of whisker lengths vs. its best fit approximation by the log-normal distribution. Note that the best fit (least square) approximation provides good fit in the middle part of the distribution, while it is less successful in the regions of both very long and very short whiskers.
of such needles remains obscure, although the shape of their pedestals is explained as the so-called Taylor cones representing an equilibrium (between the electrostatic polarization and the surface tension) geometry of a metal surface. From the perspectives of this paper’s philosophy, these needles, metal whiskers, and those responsible for shunting and solid state memory features are all mutually related due to the electrostatic energy gain.

8. Because of its counterintuitive nature, the discussion about strong electric fields in the near surface regions of real metals may require further comment. These fields can arise from spatial variations of the work function depending on multiple imperfections in structure and composition. At the first glance, the existence of such fields may appear contradictory. Indeed, free electrons in metals have a tendency to screen electric charge fluctuations, thereby suppressing electric fields. However, as explained in the next section, the role of free electrons is exact opposite. Their ability to move underlies the electric charge variations.

To avoid any misunderstanding, it is not the condition of local electroneutrality, but rather that of minimum free energy that determines the electric charge (and other parameters) distributions. The electrons will always move to minimize the system free energy; this leads to non-uniform charge distributions in non-homogeneous systems. In other words, not only the existence of surface electric fields and their corresponding electric charge variations are fully consistent with the concept of free electrons, but it is due to free electrons that different local regions of a metal can exchange electric charges minimizing the system free energy. It is widely known in the physics of semiconductors (but has not been applied to metals often) that it is not the electric potential \( j \), but rather the elec-
Electrochemical potential $F = m + ej$ that needs to be constant in space in order to minimize the system free energy. Here, $m$ is the chemical potential (change in the system energy in response to the change of number of particles in it by one; the difference in chemical potentials between two substances equals minus that of the corresponding work functions). The chemical potential is sensitive to all kind of chemical features, deformations, defects, etc. Figure 12 shows how the concentrations of particles $n$ are significantly different in the two regions with different chemical potentials. As a result, the particles move to the region of lower chemical potential. Because of the accumulated electric charge, there is now electric field $E$ in the system. However, its corresponding drift current $nE b$ ($b$ is the mobility) is totally balanced by the diffusion current $D d n / d x$, where $D$ is the diffusion coefficient and $x$ is the coordinate in the direction of concentration gradient. The important conclusion is that it is a metal where there is no current while the electric field is not vanishing.

It follows from the latter that some structural or compositional inhomogeneities are needed for the electric charge redistribution triggering whisker growth. Grain structure can be one (but not the only) example of such inhomogeneities. This is consistent with the general observation that whiskers are unlikely on metal surfaces built of very large grains, and that the presence of grain boundaries can be essential. Before pointing at more specific factors behind electric field variations, the following general examples (i–iii) are aimed at illustrating the underlying physics.

i. Consider local stresses (due to grain boundaries, dislocations, or external loads) modulating interatomic distances in a metal, thus making some local regions denser than the others. Because of these local variations, some regions will present deeper potential wells for the electrons (a phenomenon known as the deformation potential $D = dm / du$ 1 eV where $u$ is the dilation). Should these regions be mutually independent, the Fermi level positions would vary between them. However, because they are connected, the free electrons will move to level out the Fermi level across the entire system thus minimizing the system free energy. As a result, the above regions become electrically charged.

ii. Spatial variations in chemical composition of alloys would similarly result in modulations of work function, which will be leveled out in the course of electron redistribution creating local electric fields similar to the previous example.

iii. The extreme case of the latter is presented by a contact of different metals with unequal
work functions. The free electrons will move between these metals to equalize their Fermi levels. This makes the metals electrically charged. The same phenomenon underlies the existence of Schottky barriers and p-n junctions in semiconductors. In the case of metal couples, it is known as the source of the galvanic action that occurs when two electrochemically dissimilar metals are in contact and a conductive path occurs for electrons and ions to move from one metal to the other. Furthermore, one can imagine a binary mixture of chemically different metal grains where the balance of Fermi energy makes the grains of two types charged oppositely.

The above cases (i) and (ii) are schematically illustrated in Figure 13.

More specifically, the regions of different surface potential (patches) may be due to the polycrystallinity of a metal. The work function will vary between regions of specific grain orientations (Figure 14) by typically a few tenths of a volt; these different grain orientations will be qualitatively similar to the above example (iii) of binary metal mixtures. Patch structure may also arise from the presence of adsorbed elements and compounds; that contamination is qualitatively similar to the above mentioned example (ii) of the chemical composition variations. Certain features of surface morphology, particularly, its roughness, may result in the electron redistribution caused by the corresponding modulations of microscopic structure parameters similar to the above example (i). They can be caused by dislocations, stress-induced spots of different structure phases, or general electric deformation coupling in combination with stress-induced buckling.

Local charges due to stress-induced oxide cracking or ion trapping under the whisker growing layer (say, Sn on Cu substrate) are conceivable sources of the above considered surface electric fields as well. Therefore, a surface, that is ideally electrically uniform, may acquire electric surface structure. Here we assume a simple model of uncorrelated charge patches on a metal surface characterized by two parameters: characteristic electron surface charge density \( n_e \) (electrons per cm\(^2\)), and the linear dimension \( L \). In reality, the charge distribution in patches can be nonuniform, possibly concentrating along grain boundaries or other structural imperfections. However, these conceivable complications fall beyond the present scope. The charged patch model is illustrated in Figures 2, 5, and 15.

---

**Figure 13**: Variations in chemical potentials in a locally deformed (left) and locally chemically non-uniform (right) metals.

**Figure 14**: Sketches of wrong grain facets orientation (left) and oxides or other dielectric layers capable of charge accumulation, or ionic contamination spots (right).
Patch structured electric fields near metal surfaces have been found in multiple works. The measurements reveal the work function fluctuations of ~0.5 eV induced by L~10 μm patches in some metals.

The charged patch model enables one to estimate the average electric field vs. distance r from the surface. At distances r<<L, the field is close to uniform due to the dominance of the closest uniformly charged patch. At distances r>>L, fluctuations become essential and the average field amplitude decays inversely proportional to r. In addition, given the above model, it is straightforward to see that far from the surface, r>>L, the electric field vectors are directed on average perpendicular to the surface having a considerable dispersion in angles,

\[
\frac{\langle E_\perp E \rangle}{\langle E^2 \rangle} = \frac{\langle E_\parallel E \rangle}{\langle E^2 \rangle} = \frac{1}{2}
\]

Equation 1

where \(E_\perp\) and \(E_\parallel\) are respectively the field components perpendicular and parallel to the surface, and angular brackets represent averaging.

9. The above estimates lead to the following scenario of whisker evolution. (i) Stage 1: Whiskers nucleate in time intervals of one sub-second to one day (reflecting fluctuations in nucleation barriers due to the local field fluctuations); their dimensions upon nucleation are \(h\sim 10–100\) nm and \(d\sim 1–10\) nm, with the average orientation perpendicular to the metal surface and significant angular dispersion. (ii) Stage 2: Whiskers grow up to the patch size, say L~0.1–10 μm. This takes a much longer time \(t_0\sim 10^4–10^5\) that can be experimentally identified as the whisker incubation time. The growth rate at this stage is very low for almost entire time interval \(t_0\) with drastic acceleration in the nearest proximity of \(t_0\) (see Figure 6). (iii) Stage 3: Whiskers grow way above patch size at the average constant rate possibly with some degree of winding or kinking (beyond the current theory). At this stage, random field configurations induced by uncorrelated patch charges make growth rates of individual whiskers fluctuating, some of them blocked. The random distribution of blocking barriers determines the statistical distribution of whisker lengths. (iv) Stage 4: If whiskers grow above lengths where feeding by thermal radiation dominates, they evolve further in lateral directions parallel to the metal surface (not discussed here).
10. Conclusions

The above theory describes metal whiskers as a result of metal nucleation and growth in random electric fields induced by charged patches on metal surfaces. The underlying approaches are typical of the physics of phase transitions and disordered systems. This work presents the first whisker theory yielding simple analytical results more or less consistent with the observations. The successes, the remaining questions, and possible experimental verifications of this theory are summarized next.

10.1 What is understood

1) Why whiskers are metallic: High (metallic) electric polarizability is required for sufficient energy gain due to whisker formation in external (surface) electric fields.

2) Why whiskers grow more or less perpendicular to the surface and yet can have configurations parallel to the surface: Such are the dominating directions of the surface electric field that include significant fluctuation along the surface.

3) Why whisker parameters are broadly statistically distributed: This reflects fluctuations in metal surface fields induced by mutually uncorrelated charged patches.

4) Correlation between whiskers and versatile morphology factors, such as (i) grains whose orientation is different from the major orientation of the tin film, (ii) dislocations and dislocation loops, and (iii) mechanical stresses capable of surface buckling, surface contaminations; all related to local surface charges and their induced electric fields. Some metals are more prone to develop whiskers because they can easier form charged patches by absorbing ions, and creating dislocations, grain boundaries, or stresses.

5) Why external electric bias can significantly affect whisker growth. (It is rather difficult, yet possible, beyond this paper framework, to understand how the electric bias can be not a significant factor in whisker kinetics.)

6) Why the characteristic whisker evolution exhibits a certain pattern: long incubation period followed by almost constant growth rate that eventually saturates. The predicted incubation (dormant) time and subsequent growth rate agree with the observations.

7) Why whisker parameters are broadly distributed statistically. The predicted distribution of whisker lengths is close to the observed log-normal statistics around its central part.

10.2 What is not understood

1) The microscopic nature of whiskers, their correlation with specific surface defects, chemical aspects of whisker development.

2) The role of whisker crystalline structure in their evolution process.

3) Whisker growth in 3D random electric field. This includes whisker winding and kinking.

4) Possible role of surface (or grain boundary) diffusion limiting whisker growth.

5) Possible hydrodynamic drag moving surface material uniformly along with ions.

6) Inter-whisker interactions limiting their concentration and affecting growth. This includes the stage of whisker ripening.

7) Role of Pb in suppressing whiskering.

10.3 Possible experimental verification

The predicted dependencies of nucleation and growth kinetics vs. electric field, temperature, and controlled contamination could be verified experimentally.

1) Whisker nucleation and growth in external electric fields. This can be attempted, e.g., in flat plate capacitor configuration for a whisker inside SEM where the electric field is readily controlled, or under e-beam in an accelerator. In all cases, care should be taken to avoid significant Joule heating and/or electron drag effects (i.e., using voltage rather than current power source). An ongoing project in our group has generated preliminary results showing that the electric field in combination with high relative humidity can lead to anomalously rapid whisker growth (one week under 3000 V/cm in a capacitive configuration; 10–20 hours of medical accelerator e-beam charging a glass substrate under Sn film).

2) Whisker nucleation and growth under controlled contamination of metal surface with solutions of charged nano particles.

3) Whisker nucleation and growth under the conditions of strong surface electric fields.
induced by surface plasmon polariton excitations. This technique could be used for controlled growth of metal nanowires of desirable parameters on metal surfaces.

4) Applications to modern large area thin film photovoltaic technology where whiskers can shunt through the device, thereby causing significant reliability concerns (which has not been sufficiently addressed yet). Properly replacing those device metal contacts or surface treatments mitigating whisker growth can improve the device reliability and stability with respect to shunting.
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Laser-induced Graphene Vital for Flex Electronics

Rice University scientists advanced their recent development of laser-induced graphene (LIG) by producing and testing stacked, three-dimensional supercapacitors, energy-storage devices that are important for portable, flexible electronics.

The Rice lab of chemist James Tour discovered last year that firing a laser at an inexpensive polymer burned off other elements and left a film of porous graphene, the much-studied atom-thick lattice of carbon. The researchers viewed the porous, conductive material as a perfect electrode for supercapacitors or electronic circuits.

Members of the Tour group have since extended their work to make vertically aligned supercapacitors with laser-induced graphene on both sides of a polymer sheet. The sections are then stacked with solid electrolytes in between for a multilayer sandwich with multiple microsupercapacitors.

The flexible stacks show excellent energy-storage capacity and power potential and can be scaled up for commercial applications. LIG can be made in air at ambient temperature, perhaps in industrial quantities through roll-to-roll processes, Tour said.

The research was reported this week in Applied Materials and Interfaces.

LIG supercapacitors appear able to do everything capacitors can do, with the added benefits of flexibility and scalability. The flexibility ensures they can easily conform to varied packages—they can be rolled within a cylinder, for instance—without giving up any of the device’s performance.

“What we’ve made are comparable to microsupercapacitors being commercialized now, but our ability to put devices into a 3-D configuration allows us to pack a lot of them into a very small area,” Tour said. “We simply stack them up.

“The other key is that we’re doing this very simply. Nothing about the process requires a clean room. It’s done on a commercial laser system, as found in routine machine shops, in the open air.”

Ripples, wrinkles and sub-10-nanometer pores in the surface and atomic-level imperfections give LIG its ability to store a lot of energy. But the graphene retains its ability to move electrons quickly and gives it the quick charge-and-release characteristics of a supercapacitor. In testing, the researchers charged and discharged the devices for thousands of cycles with almost no loss of capacitance.