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In the analysis of pictures from a spark chamber experiment at the Cosmotron, 1.5 GeV/c $\tau^+ + p \rightarrow \Lambda^0 + K^0 \rightarrow \pi^0$, where the $\Lambda^0$ and $K^0$ decay in the chamber, we often noticed considerable differences in the spark densities of the four tracks of a given event. Occasionally the effect was quite striking, with one track very heavy, the others very light. We then compared the relative track densities with the particle velocities calculated from the reaction kinematics, and found nearly complete correlation: the lower velocity particles were making the denser sparks. This paper will briefly describe the spark chamber system used, show some sets of tracks along with the calculated velocities, and give the results of a comparison of track density with particle velocity for a group of associated production events.

The spark chamber used in this experiment is similar to those we described in the 1960 Instrumentation Conference\(^1\) and 1961 Spark Chamber Symposium\(^2\). Fig. 1 is a photograph of the chamber.

---

foils are stretched tight by the differential coefficient of expansion of the lucite and aluminum. There is some crinkling at the edges due to the square geometry, but away from the edges the foil is mirror flat.

The voltage pulse on the chamber was around 300 ns. The d.c. clearing voltage was 75 V. The time resolution of the chamber was about 1 μs. Working in a beam of around $2 \times 10^4$ pions per pulse with effective pulse length 10–20 ms, most of our events were photographed either alone or with one extra track.

Photographs of events showing ionization effects are given in figs 2–4. An incoming $\pi^-$, its track above the top reticle, interacts in a liquid $\text{H}_2$ target, producing an $A^0$ and $K^0$ which decay in the chamber. The particle velocities, $v/c$, computed from the kinematics are listed going from left to right across the picture.

Examination of a number of such events indicates...
a relatively clear distinction in the track density if the velocities differ by a factor of two or more. The differences become marginal for a factor of 1.3 or less. It is also clear that effects other than just ionization are important in determining spark density and must be taken into account in the particle identification. The larger angle tracks, further from the normal to the plates, show up less strongly than the smaller angle tracks for the same velocity. Also, the density of a particular track is influenced by its distance from other tracks in the chamber, i.e., if the spark track of one leg of a V is very heavy, the other leg close to it, with a given (higher) velocity, may appear lighter than one of the legs on the other V which has a similar velocity. Also, if a V decays further in the chamber, the comparison between its tracks and the other V should be made in the common region, for the closer decaying V can appear quite a bit heavier in the region where it is alone.

The contrast between tracks varied somewhat from roll to roll, perhaps due to slow changes in operating conditions, perhaps to differences in film development.

In a set of 72 events where the kinematics calculations appeared to give unambiguous results, we made a fairly careful comparison between the track densities and the calculated velocities, taking into account the qualifying effects mentioned above. The following results were obtained: in 63 events the track densities were consistent with the velocities from the kinematics, and would be a help in particle identification; in 6 events the track densities were too similar and would be of little
use, in only 3 events was the track density order reversed from the kinematics choice. We subsequently used ionization criteria in the rest of our events to confirm kinematics calculations and to resolve ambiguities.

**DISCUSSION**

**SCHMITZ** When looking at your pictures one had the impression that often the sparks become less rather than more intense along the track. How can this be explained? Is this due to a property of your spark chamber?

**TERWILLIGER** These chambers were fired in two sections, 6 gaps each. Maybe in the picture you were looking at the firing of the second section occurred later than that of the first 6 gaps. The most likely reason, however, is that a second decay V appeared, robbing some of the charge from the first one.

**HAYAKAWA** Could you give me a little more quantitative statement about the correlation between \( \beta \) and brightness?

**TERWILLIGER** I cannot give to you any more of a quantitative statement than I already gave. We simply visually observed the relative brightness of tracks and compared that with the \( \beta \)'s. Cronin has made a more quantitative study actually counting gaps that failed to fire. In our chamber most of the gaps usually fired even with four tracks, so we could not use this criterion. One could perhaps make a quantitative study of the spark density, but we did not.

**WINTER** Does not Cronin's observation of missing gaps as the ionisation of the particle decreases, in contrast to reduced light intensity with full gap efficiency in Terwilliger's report, suggest that the effect is strongly depending on the operation of a spark chamber, namely on the rise time of the high voltage on the plates?

**TERWILLIGER** We, as I said, took no particular precau-
tions with this chamber. We filled it with ordinary neon gas which lasted for three weeks. The chamber worked as well at the end as at the beginning of the run. The only thing which may be of importance is the rise time of the high voltage pulse.

Winter Yes, I think that the main effect is the rise time of the voltage on the plates.

Faissner We observed the effect even for single particles in case the chamber was running for some reason or another at an average efficiency around 60%. One could try to operate the chamber in this manner as a proportional chamber. The difficulty is that the spark density, i.e., the number of sparks per cm, depends so critically on the number, distance, and ionization of the other particles present, as Terwilliger has pointed out. I think for a proportional chamber to work one has to divide each plate into a mosaic of independent sections.

Cronin Could I ask Faissner what the magnitudes of these effects were?

Faissner In extreme cases the fraction of fired gaps in a proton track coming from a star varied from about 20% at the beginning to 100% at the end of the range.

Roberts I might add that we have seen exactly the same phenomena in our chamber which is in a magnetic field. We observe the same brightening of the proton track in a $A$ decay. This makes the recognition of $A$'s an easy task.

Romanowski How did you trigger your spark chambers?

Terwilliger With a 5C22 hydrogen thyratron.