TV & Video CNN Trends U.S.

World

Politics

Justice Entertainment Tech

Health

Living

Travel

Opinion

iReport Money⊩

Sports >





October 26th, 2012 12:03 PM FT

Can natural gas live up to potential?

On "Fareed Zakaria GPS" this Sunday at 10 a.m. and 1 p.m. ET - debating the natural gas boom.

By Peggy Williams, Special to CNN

Editor's note: Peggy Williams is editorial director of Hart Energy, an energy publisher that advises natural gas companies. The views expressed are her own.

As nations seek alternative fuel sources in an effort to reduce petroleum use, the world is paying increasing attention to natural gas as an efficient option. Multistage fracturing and horizontal drilling have allowed natural gas supply to grow in North America, and if these techniques continue to be implemented, the door will be open for a number of countries to start producing potentially vast amounts of eneray.

Consider Russia, which has the largest amount of recoverable natural gas in the world - more than 4,500,000 billion cubic feet of natural gas, not including potential undiscovered resources in the region. Between Russia and Siberia, there's a mean of 1,385,046 billion cubic feet of undiscovered natural gas, offering impressive potential for expansion.

The United States, while not comparable in size to Russia, still has much to gain from a potential domestic energy increase. The U.S. places after Russia as having the second-highest amount of recoverable natural gas available. Shale gas, which is natural gas trapped in fine-grain sedimentary rocks, makes up about a quarter of these resources.

It's true that there has been controversy over shale, with some critics pointing to what they claim are the adverse side effects of the so-called fracking drilling process used to tap natural gas, such as the release of methane.

However, supporters point to the huge potential -- the Eagle Ford Shale in Texas, for example, was responsible for nearly 327.5 billion cubic feet of natural gas production in 2011. From January to July 2012 alone, over 182 billion cubic feet were produced.

But this general need for energy-total consumption of natural gas in May of this year, for example, was 1,850,000 million cubic feet – is entwined with a need to keep prices down, something that is likely to propel the continued pursuit of shale gas resources. Indeed, an MIT study found that under one scenario without shale gas, gas prices could increase five-fold compared with the current price by 2050. This compares with a rough doubling of gas prices over the same period if shale gas is in the picture.

The debate over extraction techniques aside, one thing is clear – an increased natural gas supply could help lessen the country's dependence on foreign energy sources, especially considering that 94 percent of the 2011 natural gas



ADVERTISEMENT



About us

The Global Public Square is where you can make sense of the world every day with insights and explanations from CNNs Fareed Zakaria, leading journalists at TINE and CNN, and other international thinkers. Join GPS editor Jason Mks and get informed about global issues, exposed to unique stories, and engaged with diverse and original perspectives.

Most popular

Harlem shaking the Arab world?

Aleppo's river of death

Meet China's hardline new president

Why U.S. should back Keystone

The movie Netanyahu says he won't watch

Fareed Zakaria GPS TV

Every week we bring you in-depth interviews with world leaders, newsmakers and analysts who break down the world's toughest problems.

CNN U.S.: Sundays 10 a.m. & 1 p.m ET | CNN International: Find



consumed in the U.S. was produced domestically. Could the levels of shale gas available in the United States result in a situation where the U.S. consumes less natural gas than it is able to produce?

As the most common type of recoverable, unconventional gas, shale gas is found across the globe. Such resources could help fuel the Chinese economy, reduce energy imports into Europe, and allow the U.S. to play more of a role in gas exportation. The potential for natural gas to change the world therefore seems stunning. The question is whether the United States (and other countries) will do what is necessary to reap the rewards of economy it promises.

Post by:

CNN's Jason Miks

Topics: Economy . Energy . United States

We recommend

- Police: Man raped woman he met on Christian dating website
- Family fights \$474K hospital bill
- To tackle North Korea, focus on Russia and China
- Harlem shaking the Arab world?
- Abe looks to redefine U.S.-Japan ties
- Which way will Armenia tilt?

From around the web

- Should I Take My Social Security Benefits at 62? AARP.org
- 5 Failed Currencies And Why They Crashed
- Nazi Goebbels' Step-Grandchildren Are Hidden
- For Women Only: Cancer Symptoms You're Most Likely to Ignore Caring.com
- Kim Kardashian's Skirt Causes Outrage Zimbio
- Five Military Cuts That Would Fix Sequestration BusinessWeel

[what's this]

« Previous entry Europe's Roma discrimination shame

Time for U.S. to prod Ukraine

Next entry »

Categories

local times

the audio podcast

What's in a name?

Categories

Buy the GPS mug | Books | Transcripts | Audio

Connect on Facebook | Twitter | GPS@cnn.com

Buy past episodes on iTunes! | Download

Fareed's TIME columns

Baby boom and financial doom A region at war with its history

The year we reckon with Iran

Where past is not proloque

Check out all of Fareed's TIME columns here:

Search the Global Public Square

2012 Election Afghanistan Africa China
Culture Daily Roundup Debt Crisis
ECONOMY Egypt Europe Foreign Policy
From Fareed Global GPS Episodes GPS
Show Innovation Iran Israel Libya Middle

Ouiz Russia Syria Terrorism United States

East Military Pakistan Politics President

Search

Select Category

soundoff (40 Responses)

Natural gas is the future of energy. It is replacing dirty, dangerous, expensive coal and nuclear plants. It is producing the electricity for electric cars. It will directly fuel cars, pickup trucks, vans, buses, long haul trucks, dump trucks, locomotives, aircraft, ships, tractors, engines of all kinds. It will help keep us out of more useless wars, where we shed our blood and money. It lowers CO2 emissions. Over 2,300 natural gas story links on my blog. An annotated bibliography. The big picture of natural gas. Ron Wagner

October 26, 2012 at 2:07 pm | Reply

iason

natural gas is far from the future of energy, it may seem like a short term fix, but in the end its just another non-renew able fossil fuel that does nothing to counteract any human-made greenhouse effect.

October 27, 2012 at 11:46 am | Reply

memoe

Actually I contacted my local utility today to verify if natural gas CHP is considered a green energy system and they said absolutely, how many kwh's would you like to sell back to your local provider. Seems Solar or wind isn't providing the necessary carbon offsets required by federal law.

October 28, 2012 at 2:02 am |

Yes! I agree with you 🐸 Fossil Fuel is just a short term fix..and as USA will leads in this.. the trend will be followed by countries world wide... Somehow world has to figure out Solar Energy combined with alternative modes like anti-gravity and effective fuel cell technology..and most desired *prepetual motor* is what will save us from Global Energy Orisis... Secondly fuel Industry thinks only in terms of profits, nobody thinks whether the on going fuel extraction from Earth, would imbalance the composition of Soil for agriculture/flora n fona and on larger view point the stability of the geological structure of Planet Earth?

Email Subscription

Enter your email address to follow this blog and receive notifications of new posts by email

Sian me up!

@fareedzakaria on Twitter

RT @NYTimeskrugman: OP-ED COLUMNIST; Dwindling Deficit Disorder nyti.ms/YQQr5c



fareedzakaria 3:21 pm ET March 11, 2013 RETWEET

Nearly 8 million Americans go to work every day yet still live below the poverty line: LATimes lat.ms/WcjMaE



fareedzakaria 11:35 am ET March 11, 2013 RETWEET

On GPS this week: Debating Keystone, and what comes after Chavez? This Sunday at 10 a.m. and 1 p.m. ET on CNN



g fareedzakaria

12:26 am ET March 10, 2013 RETWEET

As of February, U.S. faces a "jobs gap" of 10.2 million jobs: Brookibit.ly/X46Jelh@BrookingsInstInst



fareedzakaria 3:53 pm ET March 8, 2013 RETWEET

Until 1966, more than 70% of Americans would tell pollsters they mostly trusted federal government. Today 26% do: Pew bloom.bg/WxqFFP





Param Lowe

October 29, 2012 at 6:58 am |

ronwagn

Solar and wind cannot meet base power needs for our economy. Not even close to being affordable. They are good though, if you want to pay triple.

November 2, 2012 at 11:36 am |

allenwoll

Param Low e - You mention.

" combined with alternative modes like anti-gravity and effective fuel cell technology...and most desired *prepetual motor* is what will save us from Global Energy Crisis ".

You need to take a High School Physics course!!!

November 19, 2012 at 1:29 pm |

Ciscokid

What a bunch of non-sence! You don't know what the long term effects are, neither do the "scientist" since it is a "new" industv.

Where does all the Fracking sludge go after it's all said and done? Have you heard of the Louisiana sinkhole and the environmental catastrophe it posses?

This is just crazy!

November 24, 2012 at 8:14 pm | Reply

Roma

Hey, Fareed, what was the purpose of you mentioning Russia in your so-called report?

If you want to explore natural gas - go ahead and f***g do it!!!

Stop counting someones else resources.

You should have mentioned Canada, because that's where USA is gonna steal natural gas.

Once again, Siberia loves American lairs – it is such an entertainment.

October 26, 2012 at 5:26 pm | Reply

Nate

What you talking about? There is a huge natural deposit that's stretches from southern ny to northern Virginia in the United States? We don't need Canadian natural gas or blood filled middle eastern oil? It's time to bring back the golden age where the United States was the top exporter.

November 25, 2012 at 3:11 am | Reply

Anne Ward

Fareed Zakaria: Why, in discussing fracking, did you fail to mention that the water forced into the earth to extract gas, is infused with undisclosed chemicals, that could potentially contaminate our underground water supply?

October 27, 2012 at 10:23 pm | Reply

Liz Rosenbaum

... and not one mention of the FRACAct! Nor the fact that regulation fails in all 31 drilling states... Shame.

October 28, 2012 at 7:13 am | Reply

Fedup

To Ann Ward and Roma – I'm curious why you blame Fared for this article? Did you miss the editorial note at the beginning?

By Peggy Williams, Special to CNN

Editor's note: Peggy Williams is editorial director of Hart Energy, an energy publisher that advises natural gas companies. The views expressed are her own.

November 25, 2012 at 10:38 am | Reply

memoe

Until liberals figure out how to extract gravity and make it a renewable energy source they need to back off. They are smart enough to do it. Let's get er done! I don't see any liberals investing 6 to 8 us dollars per watt on solar systems at a 30+ year payback.



RSS - Posts



October 28, 2012 at 2:28 am | Reply

memoe

Il think we need smarter liberals so they can explain how to get more output per input. Then we might have something that would reduce the worlds entropy.

October 28, 2012 at 2:46 am | Reply

memoe

If a liberal can't come up with an over unity energy source they will always be at a dissolution of the problem. What Liberals are demanding from everyone is OVER UNITY, PERPETUAL MOTION, at the same time they conclude can't be done because of the laws of thermodynamics.

October 28, 2012 at 2:53 am | Reply

memoe

Fact is if Liberals was so smart they would figure it out. output minus input @ a surplus. They still are unable. Even though they are the smartest intellectuals on the face of this planet.

October 28, 2012 at 2:57 am | Reply

memoe

MT, Cambridge, Cato, Yale, Harvard, etc. should have figured out over-unity by now. Nope we are still a century behind in a century's time. And as long as they are held the standard we will continue that trend.

October 28, 2012 at 3:12 am | Reply

Liz Rosenbaum

All frack welbore seals will deteriorate over time. It's that simple, Fareed. Even when sealed correctly, in 60-100 years, every last triple cement casing will fail. How will you protect the aquifers then? Whose gonna replug all those wells? And what will you say to your great-grandchildren when they learn, too late, that chemicals and radiation have leaked into their precious water supply?

It's frustrating how intellectually you approach reporting on Natural Gas as a potential "bridge fuel" while completely disregarding the people and ecologies routinely harmed by this massively industrial and polluting industry. Many Americans have been harmed by this form of extreme fossil fuel extraction. Rease read their stories here next time you're tempted to tout gas so eagerly: pennsylvaniaallianceforcleanwaterandair[dot]wordpress[dot]com/the-list/

October 28, 2012 at 7:07 am | Reply

Dan Ceppa

NG is *not* a bridge fuel. Not only is it more carbon intensive than coal, it has the added impact of greatly increasing methane emissions. Methane is a far more powerful greenhouse gas.

October 28, 2012 at 10:40 am | Reply

JUST ME

The gas companies aren't taking the proper precautions. Wells have exploded, waste water has polluted farm land and clean ponds. They have also sold rights to China/ it will be another Alaskan Oil lie.

October 28, 2012 at 1:52 pm | Reply

DragonX

No need for Natural gas. Try COLD FUSION. It just went online now in Italy:

http://www.prometeon.it/prodotti.php

October 30, 2012 at 4:28 pm | Reply

EVN

Pretty much absent from any discussion of energy policy is the idea of conservation and doing with less to begin with. NG will end up biting us in the backside as fracking disasters occur, and it is not the panacea its supporters tout it as being. Renewable energy is in its infancy and can be most effectively be used to augment the grid, but not drive it entirely. Nuclear is a disaster waiting to happen, and no matter what precautions are exercised, humans will make mistakes, or nature will at some point defeat even the most advanced protective systems, and when an accident happens the consequences can be catastrophic. So we need to learn to do more with less, and develop a comprehensive energy policy, instead of chasing whatever happens to be in vogue at the time as if it were the answer to our energy needs.



O-4 - I	20	2040	-4	7.00		D	i	
October	JU.	2012	તા	7:30	IIIG	rep	IJν	1

Isn2me

natural gas industry will self-destruct. It is the dog eating it's own tail. the amount of fresh water required for hydraulic fracturing (fracking) is not available through our children's generation, unless we devolve to drinking gas instead of water. the disposal of poisoned, carcinogenic fracking waste water by injecting the millions upon millions of gallons of once prescious fresh, life-giving water into deep underground remote spaces far from the fracking drill sites will continue to destabilize the earth's surface to the point where people will finally demand that men stop creating the earthquakes that have been rumbling under our feet, homes and fragile underground pipe infrastructures. random unleashed gases burping through porous soils will, even more often, impact not only wildlife, but human lives, in my opinion. It is past the time for us to support the renewable resource technologies.

October 31, 2012 at 2:07 am | Reply

spent

So glad I did not sell the mineral rights my father had in West Oklahoma...700,000,000 cub. ft. of natural gas is located and they are going after now. Fine with me.

November 23, 2012 at 3:43 pm | Reply

ottoborden

Reblogged this on Towards A Secular America and commented:

Shifting to natural gas is – unfortunately – the greenest alternative we have to coal at the moment. Solar and wind are still going to take some time to reach price parity with conventional fuels. Until then the alternative to using them is further immiseration of poor and middle class people under the yoke of ever rising energy prices and stagnating take home pay.

That and I'm a "climate agnostic".

November 24, 2012 at 4:29 pm | Reply

Martin B.

The ongoing pressure both by environmental activist groups and the public against the extraction and manipulation of non-traditional petroleum sources is a hindrance on economic prosperity. It is true that pollution is caused by the practice and there is still need of analysis in relation to long-term effects; however, this should not distract people from the final goal that domestic natural gas supplies. Independence from foreign energy sources. Though natural gas could never, realistically, replace gasoline; the application to certain areas of transportation could decrease nation-wide oil consumption and allow time for more permanent solutions to be properly applied.

December 5, 2012 at 7:11 pm | Reply

Bi Alzenstat

http://trackingtomorrow.blogspot.com

December 9, 2012 at 2:03 pm | Reply

NATURALIHEALTH

That is very interesting, You are an overly skilled blogger. I have joined your feed and look forward to in the hunt for more of your magnificent post. Additionally, I've shared your site in my social networks

December 23, 2012 at 1:46 am | Reply

Post a comment

Name: (required)	E-mail: (required, but will not be displayed)

CNN welcomes a lively and courteous discussion as long as you follow the Rules of Conduct set forth in our **Terms of Service**. Comments are not pre-screened before they post. You agree that anything you post may be used, along with your name and profile picture, in accordance with our **Privacy Policy** and the license you have granted pursuant to our **Terms of Service**.



« Previous entry

Europe's Roma discrimination shame Next entry »
Time for U.S. to prod
Ukraine



ADVERTISEMENT

Weather forecast

SEARCH POWERED BY GOOGLE

CNN TV | HLN | Transcripts

Home | Video | CNN Trends | U.S. | World | Politics | Justice | Entertainment | Tech | Health | Living | Travel | Opinion | iReport | Money | Sports Tools & widgets | RSS | Podcasts | Blogs | CNN mobile | My profile | E-mail alerts | CNN shop | Site map

© 2012 Cable News Network Tumer Broadcasting System, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Terms of service | Privacy guidelines | Ad choices | Advertising practices | Advertise with us | About us |
Contact us | Work for us | Help

CNN en ESPAÑOL | CNN México | CNN Chile | CNN Expansión बिस्स्र | 한국어 | 日本語 | Türkçe

Powered by WordPress.com VIP