Thin film iron pyrite deposited by hybrid sputtering/co-evaporation as a hole transport layer for sputtered CdS/CdTe solar cells
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A B S T R A C T

We report the properties of hybrid deposited iron pyrite (FeS₂) thin films applied as the back contact interface layers of CdS/CdTe solar cells. The hybrid deposition process for FeS₂ optimized in this study relies on DC magnetron sputtering of iron with simultaneous thermal evaporation of sulfur. We have fabricated solar cells incorporating CdS/CdTe window/absorber layers sputter-deposited onto commercial transparent conducting oxide coated glass and have compared the performance of devices incorporating the new FeS₂/Cu/Au back contacts with that of standard devices incorporating Cu/Au back contacts. Considering our best devices of each type, the inclusion of the FeS₂ thin film as a hole transport layer has improved the open circuit voltage V_OC by 2.1%, reaching 817 mV, and the fill-factor FF by 8.3% relative, reaching 74.7%, in comparison with devices omitting the FeS₂ layer. Under standard test conditions of 100 mA/cm² simulated AM1.5G and 25 °C, devices utilizing the FeS₂ hole transport layer have shown a conversion efficiency η as high as 13.3% – a relative increase in η of ~10% over our current laboratory standard back contact. The attained FF exceeds previous results for high efficiency sputter-deposited CdS/CdTe solar cells.

1. Introduction

Iron pyrite (FeS₂) is an earth-abundant, low cost, and low-toxicity sulfur mineral and has been identified as a promising candidate thin film material for large-scale deployment of solar-to-electricity generation [1,2]. FeS₂ exhibits an indirect bandgap of ~0.95 eV, but also displays desirable high optical absorption starting in the near infra-red near ~1 eV and extending into the visible spectral range, thus enabling relatively thin absorber layers that serve to minimize materials cost [2–5]. Although iron pyrite has been extensively researched for photovoltaic (PV) applications since the mid-1980s [2], the record conversion efficiency of just 2.8% shows that FeS₂ has not been implemented successfully as an absorber for high efficiency PV cells [2,6–8]. We recently discovered, however, that certain properties shared by most polycrystalline and nanocrystalline (NC) FeS₂ pyrite thin films, including a high free hole (p+) density and a relatively deep work function (≥5.0 eV), support its application as a low-resistance hole transport layer (HTL) at the back contact of CdS/CdTe solar cells. Previously, we reported the use of a solution processed FeS₂ thin film, based on colloidal FeS₂ NCs, as the back contact to CdTe [9]. The deposited NC film, which was chemically modified using hydrazine to improve conductivity, yielded a relative improvement in solar conversion efficiency of > 5% when compared with test cells using our laboratory standard Cu/Au back contact. We briefly review here additional properties of the NC-based FeS₂ back contact layers before introducing the hybrid sputter/co-evaporation method utilized for deposition of the back contact layer of CdS/CdTe solar cells as described in this manuscript.

The cubic FeS₂ NCs utilized in the solution-process back contact have an average edge length of ~100 nm, and thin films prepared with that material are porous [10,11], with a void fraction of ~0.5 as determined by spectroscopic ellipsometry (SE) [11]. As a result, an FeS₂ NC film of at least 1 µm thickness is required to minimize the adverse effect of film porosity when applying FeS₂ NCs as a back contact layer and to enhance the performance of the solar cells [9,12]. When preparing the FeS₂ NC film, a hydrazine treatment enhances film conductivity by removing surfactant molecules present during and after NC synthesis. Despite potential advantages in terms of simplicity and cost, solution-based fabrication of thin films may not prove to be economically viable for large scale production. In addition, the use of hydrazine is clearly undesirable based on volatility and toxicity concerns.
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Motivated by these issues, we report here for the first time the development and demonstration of a hybrid vacuum deposition method for preparing polycrystalline iron pyrite thin films on CdTe absorber layer surfaces. While many methods have been published for producing iron pyrite thin films, ours represents one that allows for low substrate temperatures while yielding high pyrite phase purity. This method relies on sputtering iron and concurrently evaporating sulfur to form an iron pyrite back contact on a CdCl₂-activated CdS/CdTe film stack held at elevated temperatures, but at least \( \sim 50 ^\circ C \) below the activation temperature. Our investigations have revealed favorable initial results, with CdS/CdTe/FeS₂ devices showing improvements in the open circuit voltage (\( V_{OC} \)), fill-factor (FF), and power conversion efficiency (\( \eta \)) under AM1.5G simulated solar illumination. The efficiency of our highest performance device (\( \eta = 13.3\% \)) prepared on a commercial transparent conducting oxide coated glass using the iron pyrite HTL compares well with the previously reported record efficiency of 14.0\% for an all-sputtered CdS/CdTe thin film solar cell prepared on optimized ZnO:Al [13]. In fact, the FF for the highest efficiency cell using the iron pyrite HTL reported here exceeds that reported for the best cells in Ref. [13].

2. Experimental details

The cadmium sulfide (CdS) window and CdTe absorber layers of all solar cells were deposited by RF magnetron sputtering onto TEC™ 15 soda lime glass substrates coated with a 100 nm thick high resistivity transparent layer (HRT; Pilkington N. A.) [14]. The thicknesses of CdS and CdTe films were \( \sim 80 \) nm and \( \sim 2 \mu m \), respectively. For characterization purposes, polycrystalline iron pyrite (FeS₂) films were prepared on uncoated soda lime glass and on silicon wafer substrates. In addition to these latter substrates, (TEC™ 15)/HRT/CdS/CdTe, with CdCl₂ activation, served as the underlying structure for FeS₂ film incorporation into PV devices. These devices were completed by deposition of a metal bilayer of Cu/Au to cap the FeS₂ interface layer of the back contact. The bilayer consists of \( \sim 3 \) nm Cu and \( \sim 40 \) nm Au thermally evaporated sequentially onto either the CdCl₂ activated CdTe layer, in the case of the control cells, or onto the hybrid deposited FeS₂ layer for the novel cells. Subsequently, Cu is diffused by annealing at 150 \( ^\circ C \) in dry air for 40 min. For the standard back contact deposition used in the fabrication of control cells in this study, all processes were performed for the standard back contact to minimize the effect of an opposing diode created by a Schottky barrier at the CdTe/metal interface [15].

The FeS₂ films were prepared in a hybrid process whereby the Fe was sputtered using a DC magnetron source and the elemental sulfur (S) was evaporated simultaneously using a thermal source. The FeS₂ films were deposited over a range of calibrated substrate temperatures from \( \sim 165 ^\circ C \) to \( \sim 340 ^\circ C \), 4 m Torr Ar pressure, and 70 W DC sputtering power. Another important parameter found to control the formation of high quality iron pyrite for use in devices is the sulfur evaporation rate (SER). Several SERs were attempted and high crystalline quality pyrite was obtained when the SER was in the range from \( \sim 0.2 \) nm/s to \( \sim 0.4 \) nm/s, associated with an iron pyrite deposition rate range from \( \sim 0.1 \) to 0.2 nm/s at the Fe sputtering power given above. Depending on the purpose of the FeS₂ film, the deposition time was varied from 5 min to 1 h, yielding film thicknesses at these extremes of deposition duration ranging from \( \sim 30 \) nm to \( \sim 750 \) nm, respectively. When FeS₂ films were prepared as an HTL for CdS/CdTe solar cells, film thicknesses of \( \sim 100 \) nm were preferred. The thicker films were used for materials characterization. Although a complete study of the dependence of device performance on FeS₂ film thickness has not been performed, a reduced thickness is beneficial because it reduces (i) the deposition time, (ii) the thickness of Cu as a component of the Cu/Au metal contact, and (iii) the time and temperature required for Cu diffusion during processing.

For devices incorporating the FeS₂ HTL and for those serving as controls, the cell areas of 0.085 cm² were defined by laser scribing. Quantum efficiency (QE) measurements confirmed the measured \( J_{SC} \) values. Current density vs. voltage measurements were performed in the dark, and under 1 Sun AM1.5G illumination. The crystal structure and purity of FeS₂ films were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku Ultima III X-ray Diffractometer) and Raman spectroscopy (Jobin Yvon Horiba Confocal Raman Spectrometer) measurements, surface morphology was determined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S-4800), and material stoichiometry was determined by energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy in the same SEM. XRD patterns were analyzed to obtain information on the crystal structure along with the lattice constants at different Miller indices for the samples prepared at different substrate temperatures and also at different SER values. For XRD, SEM, and EDX measurements, FeS₂ films were deposited primarily onto soda lime glass substrates, but these measurements were also conducted when the films were deposited onto c-Si substrates.

Absorbance spectra of the films were measured using a spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer Lambda 1050). Spectroscopic ellipsometry (SE) of the films was performed as well, using a multichannel ellipsometer (J. A. Woollam Co., M2000D1). For these optical characterization techniques, FeS₂ thin films were fabricated on soda lime glass and c-Si substrates. For determination of the dielectric functions of the films by SE, the FeS₂ thin films were deposited on c-Si substrates and were cooled to room temperature before in situ measurement in situ measurement avoided surface oxidation, and the ellipsometry spectra were corrected for surface roughness layers whose characteristics were determined from real time analysis of the film growth processes. The c-Si substrates were also used to calibrate the substrate temperature in the deposition chamber by applying in situ SE.

3. Results and discussion

The current density-voltage (J-V) curves are shown in Fig. 1 for the highest efficiency sputtered CdTe devices using novel FeS₂/Cu/Au and standard Cu/Au back contacts. The solid and dashed lines depict the results of light and dark measurements, respectively. The associated performance parameters shown in Table 1 represent average values from 20 cells for both the FeS₂/Cu/Au and the Cu/Au back contacts. The metal Au with its relatively high work function, \( \phi = 5.0 \) eV, is chosen for the standard back contact to minimize the effect of an opposing diode created by a Schottky barrier at the CdTe/metal interface [15]. From the J-V curves shown in Fig. 1 and the parameter values in Table 1, it can be seen that adding a thin film of FeS₂ as an interface layer between CdTe and the metal Au improves the device performance. Whereas \( J_{SC} \) is similar for both novel and standard back contact.
types, increases in both $V_{OC}$ and FF (in accord with improved series and shunt resistance values) result in increased device efficiency when applying the FeS$_2$/Cu/Au back contact to the sputtered CdTe solar cell. The increase in $V_{OC}$ is more than 2% and the increase in FF is more than 8% with the FeS$_2$/Cu/Au back contact as shown in Fig. 1.

The improvement in device performance observed here for < 100 nm thick FeS$_2$ HTLs deposited by the hybrid process replicates that observed when using ~1 µm thick nanocrystalline FeS$_2$ (FeS$_2$-NC) interface layers [9]. In addition to the significantly different method of FeS$_2$ preparation (hybrid sputtering/co-evaporation used here versus the solution process used previously), the results reported here derive from a different method of Cu incorporation and diffusion. For the hybrid sputtered/co-evaporated FeS$_2$ thin film, the FeS$_2$ is first deposited onto the activated CdTe surface, and the device is subsequently completed by evaporating Cu/Au and annealing the device to diffuse the Cu. In contrast, in the previous study of the solution-processed FeS$_2$ back contact layer, Cu is introduced and diffused into the activated CdTe prior to deposition of the FeS$_2$-NC layer and evaporation of the Au layer. In this latter case, no thermal processing is used during or subsequent to the FeS$_2$-NC or Au depositions.

In comparison with the FeS$_2$ NC film, the FeS$_2$ film prepared using the hybrid method is more uniform and compact, and the film is observed to be more strongly adherent to the substrate. Due to the increased density, FeS$_2$ film thicknesses < 100 nm can be used as interface layers of the back contact for CdTe solar cells. Even with such thin layers, the performances of the cells are as high as those incorporating ∼1 µm thick FeS$_2$-NC films. The FeS$_2$ films deposited by the hybrid method exhibit the same cubic pyrite crystal structure as the FeS$_2$-NCs, but the film appears slightly less crystalline according to the peak intensities in the XRD pattern of Fig. 2 [10]. The decreased crystallinity may be due to the low substrate temperature for the nucleation and growth (∼260 °C) of the FeS$_2$ films. The XRD patterns shown in Fig. 2a were obtained from FeS$_2$ films of ∼750 nm thickness fabricated on soda lime glass substrates with two different sulfur evaporation rates (SERs). At the higher SER, a slight shift in the diffraction peaks to lower 2θ suggests slight in-plane compressive strain within the iron pyrite grains. The basis for this interpretation is the Poisson effect which indicates that films showing XRD evidence for tensile strain normal to the plane of the film surface are then under compressive strain parallel to the plane of the film [16]. For SER > 0.4 nm/s, the deposited film was of poor crystallinity and appeared to exhibit a mixture of pyrite, pyrrhotite, and possibly other phases as shown in the Supplementary material, Fig. S1.

We have investigated films deposited at several substrate temperatures ranging from ∼165 °C to ∼340 °C and found that the pyrite phase can be formed in the range of ∼165 °C to ∼260 °C (Fig. 2b). At the lower substrate temperatures within this range, XRD peak positions are shifted to lower 2θ, corresponding to increased lattice constants and at higher substrate temperature (> 260 °C) pyrite peaks are missing. For example, the position of the (200) peak shifts monotonically from 33.00° at 260 °C, to 32.70° at 212 °C, and to 32.35° at 165 °C. The average lattice constant of each of the pyrite films $d_{(200)}$ has been calculated using different diffraction lines. The results have been compared with the lattice constant of the bulk single crystal FeS$_2$ $d_{(200)}$, and an estimate by the relation $a = \frac{d_{(200)} - d_{(200)}}{V_{(200)}}$ suggests that the in-plane compressive strain $\varepsilon$ is higher at lower substrate temperature according to the argument of the previous paragraph [17]. Because the thermal expansion coefficients for the soda lime glass substrate, 9.0×10$^{-6}$ (°C)$^{-1}$ [18], and iron pyrite, 10.4×10$^{-6}$ (°C)$^{-1}$ [19] both at 260 °C, are relatively close, any contribution to the strain generated by thermal stress upon cooling would be weak and in fact exhibit an opposite trend with decreasing substrate temperature. The larger lattice constant at the lower temperature could be ascribed to lattice strain due to a high density of defects or defect structures that are less stable and form preferentially at the lower substrate temperatures. The average lattice constant as calculated from the various diffraction lines of FeS$_2$ film deposited at 260 °C was 0.5423 ± 0.0008 nm compared with the value of 0.5418 nm for single crystal pyrite [19–21]. Similarly, average lattice constants of FeS$_2$ films deposited at substrate temperatures of 212 °C and 165 °C with SER of 0.275 nm/s were 0.5521 ± 0.0010 nm, and 0.5522 ± 0.0010 nm, respectively.

A scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image as shown in Fig. 3a demonstrates that the average grain size of the hybrid-deposited polycrystalline FeS$_2$ is smaller than that of the NCs (∼100 nm edge length) applied in our previous iron pyrite back contact studies. From EDX measurements, the average atomic ratio of S to Fe was found to be 2.08 ± 0.05 when the substrate temperature was 260 °C. Although we have observed evidence of the formation of Fe and/or FeS phases upon initiating film growth at a substrate temperature of 260 °C (according to real time spectroscopic ellipsometry as well as XRD), subsequently

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Back contact</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{SC}$ (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>$\eta$ (%)</th>
<th>$R_S$ (Ω cm$^2$)</th>
<th>$R_{sh}$ (Ω cm$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu/Au</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.01</td>
<td>21.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>68.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>11.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1620 ± 210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeS$_2$/Cu/Au</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.01</td>
<td>21.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>72.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>12.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2580 ± 560</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. (a) The X-ray diffraction patterns of polycrystalline FeS$_2$ films deposited on soda-lime glass at 260 °C with SER values of ∼0.375 nm/s and ∼0.275 nm/s. The extracted lattice constant values in each SER case were similar with an average value of 0.5422 nm. (b) X-ray diffraction scans of FeS$_2$ deposited by hybrid deposition (sputtering of Fe with co-evaporation of S) on soda lime glass at substrate temperatures of 260 °C, 212 °C and 165 °C and a SER of ∼0.275 nm/s. For comparison, an XRD pattern of a FeS$_2$ NC film of similar thickness has been added. The vertical lines represent reference source peaks with PDF # 97-063-3274. The deposition durations for all samples were 40 min.
we observe the formation of iron pyrite atop the initial layer. At substrate temperatures lower than 260 °C, the S/Fe ratio is observed to increase above 2.00 (Fig. 3b). In addition, for these lower substrate temperatures, we observe XRD patterns associated with iron pyrite, but shifted in accordance with an increasing level of in-plane compressive strain. Thus, these results are inconsistent with the concept of a transition from cubic pyrite to the hexagonal troilite (lattice spacings larger than those of iron pyrite) as the substrate temperature is reduced. Evidence for such a cubic to hexagonal transition occurs in this study for the depositions at the high temperatures for which the S/Fe ratio has decreased to ~1 (Fig. 3b). Thus, the FeS phase appearing at substrate temperatures of 312 °C and 340 °C is consistent with the conversion of any pyrite phase to troilite.

The electrical characterization of the hybrid-deposited FeS$_2$ was conducted using Hall effect measurements to obtain information on carrier type, free carrier density, and mobility. We found that the polycrystalline FeS$_2$ films are p-type with a net free hole density of ~10$^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$ and a hole mobility of ~8 cm$^2$/Vs. The hole mobility value for these FeS$_2$ films is higher than that for FeS$_2$-NC films. We expect that the mobility of holes within each NC, however, is likely to exceed the mobility of holes in the hybrid deposited thin film. This expectation is based on the higher apparent crystallinity of FeS$_2$ NC films, as indicated by XRD measurement. The observed lower mobility of the NC composite film compared to the hybrid film may then be due to the porosity of the NC film and the presence of organic surfactant molecules. The increased mobility observed in the hybrid-deposited films is expected to yield a reduced contact resistance which would correlate with improved transport of holes from the CdTe to the Au layer, which may in turn improve the open circuit voltage.

Optical measurements have also been performed on the hybrid-deposited thin films, including transmittance and reflectance spectroscopy for optical density and absorption coefficient determination over the range from 300 to 2000 nm (Fig. 3c) and spectroscopic ellipsometry for dielectric function determination over the range from 0.75 to 5 eV (Fig. 3d). From the absorption coefficient of the optimum FeS$_2$ film deposited at 260 °C, the results may suggest significant sub-bandgap optical absorption extending to photon energies below the expected bandgap energy of 0.95 eV. Another interpretation, supported by real time SE, however, is non-uniformity with depth in the film characterized by a sulphur-poor region at the interface to the substrate. The dielectric functions (Fig. 3d) show features at 1.8 eV and 3.8 eV corresponding to those of the iron pyrite natural crystal; however, the dielectric function amplitudes for the hybrid-deposited film are weaker than that of the natural crystal, but much stronger than that of the thick NC layer as reported by Subedi et al. [11]. Applying the Bruggeman effective medium approximation over the entire spectral range, the thin film exhibits a void content of 21 vol% measured relative to the natural crystal which, although considerable, is much lower than that of the NC layer at 62 vol% as determined by the same method.

Iron pyrite thin films of ~200 nm to ~500 nm thickness produced...
by hybrid deposition have been found to produce no significant photocurrent when used as absorber layers in Schottky and heterojunction solar cells. As shown in Fig. 1, however, improvement in device performance can be observed clearly when using hybrid-deposited FeS₂ as an interface layer at the back contact of the CdTe solar cell. We ascribe the performance improvements to a reduced back contact barrier, and to the potential for electron-reflecting behavior at or near the CdTe/FeS₂ interface. The back barrier contact heights (Φₑ) as calculated from the temperature dependent J-V measurement are 0.353 ± 0.011 eV for standard Cu/Au and 0.217 ± 0.008 eV for the FeS₂/Cu/Au back contact. Thus, a ~130 meV reduction in barrier height is observed upon addition of the FeS₂ layer, as shown in the Supplementary material. Even with the reduced barrier to hole transfer when FeS₂ is incorporated, Cu continues to play an important role in maximizing the cell performance. When omitting the FeS₂ layer, 3 nm of Cu followed by 40 nm of Au are evaporated directly onto the activated CdTe surface, and the device is annealed to diffuse Cu into CdTe to increase the free hole concentration. The increased free hole density at the back of the CdTe layer increases its conductivity, narrows the residual back barrier width, and enhances the tunneling probability for holes through the back barrier into the Au contact layer [22,23].

Although an increased amount of Cu may improve the initial back contact performance, excess Cu is understood to degrade efficiency following thermal cycling over long durations in the field [24]. We find that a ~3 nm thick evaporated Cu layer is optimum for a ~2 μm thick sputtered CdTe absorber. If we assume that 3 nm Cu diffuses uniformly throughout a 2 μm CdTe layer, the number concentration of Cu atoms is ~1.3×10¹⁸ cm⁻³. The Cu however is not uniformly distributed [25], and due to compensation only a small fraction of the Cu atoms (~0.001) are ionized to produce free holes [26]. Even with this high average concentration of Cu, however, the back barrier effect is not completely eliminated as shown by temperature dependent J-V curves [27,28].

To reduce the back barrier more effectively, an intermediate semiconductor layer can be deposited before the back contact layers. With suitable band edge energetics, the intermediate semiconductor layer decreases the contact resistance, resulting in improved performance of the CdTe solar cell by promoting hole transport from the CdTe into the back contact metal. Fahrenbruch [29] in 1987, and Rioux et al. [30] in 1993, proposed ZnTe as an ohmic back contact for CdTe solar cells, and indeed ZnTe is used at present in some commercial CdTe modules [31]. This semiconductor exhibits a larger bandgap energy than CdTe, a negligible valence band offset relative to CdTe, and a carrier concentration on the order of 10¹⁸ cm⁻³ when doped with Sb [30,32]. We find that the FeS₂ film plays an apparently analogous role to ZnTe, enhancing both Voc and FF. Although not yet experimentally investigated in detail, we propose that the hybrid-deposited polycrystalline FeS₂ film forms an ohmic contact to Au and that the CdTe/FeS₂ junction shows a valence band offset favoring efficient transfer of holes. Further studies are planned to investigate and optimize band edge offsets for this system.

The external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra shown in Fig. 4a indicate that the incorporation of the FeS₂ film as a back contact layer in the CdTe solar cell has slightly improved current collection in the long wavelength region of λ > 700 nm. Electron-hole pairs generated away from the CdS/CdTe junction, predominantly by these long wavelength photons, benefit from the lower barrier potential present within the CdTe/FeS₂/Au contact. Since the Cu is deposited and diffused after FeS₂ layer deposition, the conductivities of both the FeS₂ and CdTe films may have increased as a result. The 5–10 nm blue-shift in the long wavelength EQE cut-off observed for CdTe devices using the FeS₂–NC processed back contact [9] has not been observed in this study.

Although the influence of diffusing Cu on the properties of the iron pyrite layer has yet to be studied, we tested the influence of three different thicknesses of evaporated Cu on the device performance. In these experiments, we evaporated 3 nm, 4 nm, and 5 nm thick Cu layers onto the hybrid-deposited FeS₂, and devices were then completed in the standard manner including the steps of Au evaporation and annealing. Current density-voltage characteristics are shown in Fig. 4b for CdS/CdTe devices incorporating the three different thicknesses of Cu in the FeS₂/Cu/Au back contact. In this study we did not observe significant variation in the performance of the devices. Based on these results, we conclude that ~3 nm Cu is sufficient to provide the highest performance when the FeS₂ thickness is ~50 nm. Application of a thicker FeS₂ layer degrades the solar cell performance unless an increased thickness of Cu is deposited. The presence of elemental Cu and iron pyrite may potentially yield chalcopyrite formation through a mechanism that could be considered Cu “gettering” whereby the pyrite serves as a sink for diffusing Cu. Based on the results of Fig. 4b, the relative insensitivity of device performance with Cu deposition (3–5 nm) suggests that any gettering mechanism is not playing a key role in determining performance.

Although solar cell parameters such as Voc, JSC, FF, PCE, Rs and Rsh are well accepted indicators of solar cell’s performance, additional information can be obtained by analyzing the J-V curves with the help of a general single exponential diode equation given in Eq. (1).

\[
J = J_0 \exp\left(\frac{α}{n kT} (V - R_s J)\right) + G_{sh} V - J_L,
\]

where \(e\) is the electronic charge, \(n\) is the ideality factor, \(k_B\) is Boltzmann’s constant, \(T\) is temperature, \(J_0\) is the reverse saturation current, \(R_s\) is the series resistance, \(G_{sh}\) is the shunt conductance and \(J_L\) is the current density at AM1.5G, one sun illumination.

Using the experimental J-V curves and diode equation, several important parameters including ideality factor, diode saturation current density, series resistance, and shunt conductance can be calculated and compared. These diode parameters help to understand both qualitative and quantitative information obtained from the J-V curves. Here we experimentally determine these diode parameters to understand the behavior of solar cells by preparing a succession of plots shown in Figs. 5 and 6 for both light and dark measurements. Figs. 5a and 6a show J-V data in the standard form using linear scales for both light and dark measurements. In both cases, current density in forward bias increases more rapidly for devices incorporating FeS₂. This indicates that the thin film of FeS₂ incorporated into the device helps to lower the series resistance and increase shunt resistance, leading to improved FF and Voc of the devices.

For both back contact types and in both light and dark measurements, \(dJ/dV\) was found to be constant and negligible when plotted as a function of \(V\) in reverse bias and in low forward bias regions, as shown in Figs. 5b and 6b. This indicates that shunt resistance of cells with both back contacts are sufficiently high such that the conversion efficiency is not limited measurably. Since \(dJ/dV\) of the J-V curve is very small, noise is evident especially for the Cu/Au back contact under illumination (Fig. 5b). In the low forward bias region, the first term in the derivative of Eq. (1) is negligible and \(dJ/dV\) then is equal to \(G_{sh}\). When the forward bias increases, however, the first term of the derivative increases exponentially.

Ideality factors were calculated by plotting the derivative \(dV/dJ\) from the exponential diode equation as a function of the reciprocal of \(J + J_{SC}\) when the devices were illuminated and as a function of the reciprocal of \(J\) when the devices were not illuminated, as shown in Figs. 5c and 6c. In these cases, the series resistance \(R_s\) and ideality factors \(n\) were obtained from the \(y\)-intercept and the slope \((nk_B T/e)\) of the diode equation, respectively. The ideality factors calculated using this method for Cu/Au and FeS₂/Cu/Au back contacts were 2.6 and 2.2 under illumination and 2.1 and 1.8 in the dark, respectively. Slightly higher values of \(n\) under illumination are observed because the data are not precisely linear for the Cu/Au back contact. The series resistances of the devices with Cu/Au and FeS₂/Cu/Au back contacts were
1.3 Ω cm$^2$ and 1.2 Ω cm$^2$, respectively, under illumination and 12.3 Ω cm$^2$ and 7.0 Ω cm$^2$ in the dark, respectively. These $n$ and $R_S$ values for the device with Cu/Au back contacts are similar to values reported elsewhere [33].

Semi-logarithmic graphs of ln($J+J_{SC}$) versus $V-R_SJ$ were plotted using the values of $R_S$ obtained above for light measurement as shown in Fig. 5d. Since $J_{SC}$ is zero in the dark, ln($J$) was plotted versus $V-R_SJ$ for data collected in the dark. A linear region with at least 1–2 orders of magnitude increase in current density on a log scale is fit using Eq. (1) for the diodes in the light and dark to calculate $J_0$ and $n$ for cells with Cu/Au and FeS$_2$/Cu/Au back contacts. The values of $n$ were 2.7 and 2.3 under illumination and 2.3 and 2.0 in the dark for Cu/Au and FeS$_2$/Cu/Au back contacts, respectively. The higher values of $n$ are due to the large deviation in the current for the Cu/Au back contact under light and dark conditions. These values are higher than those extracted using the derivatives [comparing Figs. 5c and 6c]. Similarly, the reverse saturation current densities of CdTe solar cells incorporating Cu/Au and FeS$_2$/Cu/Au back contacts were found to be $2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ mA/cm$^2$ and $2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ mA/cm$^2$ under illumination and $4.3 \times 10^{-5}$ mA/cm$^2$ and $2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ mA/cm$^2$ in the dark, respectively. The $n$ and $J_0$ values calculated here for devices with Cu/Au and FeS$_2$/Cu/Au back contacts are higher than those determined by Hegedus et al. ($n=1.7$ and $J_0=8 \times 10^{-7}$ mA/cm$^2$) for dark measurement; however, their values were not presented for light measurement [34]. The solar cell fabrication process described by the authors of reference [34] resembles that used here, with the device structure of glass/SnO$_2$/CdS/CdTe/metal and an absorber layer thickness varying over the range of 2–4 µm.

Overall, by observing the J-V parameters from the light/dark
measurements, it can be concluded that the incorporation of FeS₂ as an interface layer in the back contact of the CdS/CdTe solar cell improves the diode performance parameters. The improvements observed in this study include decreased saturation current density, decreased series resistance and improved ideality factor. The resulting improved diode performance observed in the light/dark leads to increased Voc and FF and overall solar cell performance under illumination.

4. Conclusions

This article reports the demonstration and application of thin film iron pyrite as a hole transport layer at the back contact of CdS/CdTe solar cells. Our iron pyrite films are prepared using a hybrid deposition method - which to our knowledge has not been previously reported - based on sputtering metallic iron while co-evaporating of elemental sulfur. Initial results indicate that devices incorporating a pyrite FeS₂ HTL perform well, showing improvements in Voc, FF, and α as compared with a standard Cu/Au contact. Our best cell incorporating the FeS₂ HTL exhibits a fill-factor that exceeds those previously reported for high efficiency solar cells based on RF magnetron sputtered CdS/CdTe. The FeS₂ back contact may ultimately benefit from additional optimization yielding even further increases in CdTe solar cell efficiency. Open-circuit light soak tests are also underway to test the stability of the modified back contact design.
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Fig. 6. Analysis of dark current density versus voltage characteristics, including (a) dark J–V curves on a linear scale, (b) shunt characterization, (c) dV/dJ versus 1/J for calculation of n and Rsh, and (d) results on a semi-log scale for calculation of J0 and n. All panels provide comparisons of sputtered CdTe solar cells incorporating standard Cu/Au and novel FeS₂/Cu/Au back contacts.


