

Constraints : \rightarrow

A constraint that can be expressed as

$f(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, t) = 0$ is called a holonomic constraint.

Constraints explicitly depending on time are called rheonomous. Those not explicitly dependent on time are called scleronomous constraints.

E.g. Scleronomous \equiv Bead sliding on a rigid curved wire fixed in space

Rheonomous \equiv If wire moves in some known fashion.

Generalized coordinates are used to
(i) eliminate constraint forces
(ii) to make independent coordinates from dependent ones.

N particles \Rightarrow $3N$ degrees of freedom if there are no constraints.
 k holonomic constraints \Rightarrow $3N - k$ degrees of freedom.

8

D' Alembert's principle

$$\sum_i (\bar{F}_i - \dot{\bar{p}}_i) \cdot \delta \bar{x}_i = 0 \longrightarrow (1.44)$$

Let the constraint forces be \bar{f}_i
and the applied forces be \bar{F}_i^a
so that

$$\bar{F}_i = \bar{F}_i^a + \bar{f}_i$$

\bar{f}_i do no virtual work

$$\Rightarrow \bar{f}_i \cdot \delta \bar{x}_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_i \bar{F}_i^a \cdot \delta \bar{x}_i = 0$$

Let $\bar{x}_i = \bar{x}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, t)$

$\{q_i\}$ are the generalized coordinates,

$$\therefore \delta \bar{x}_i = \sum_j \frac{\partial \bar{x}_i}{\partial q_j} \delta q_j \longrightarrow (1.47)$$

$$\therefore \sum_i \bar{F}_i^a \cdot \delta \bar{x}_i = \sum_j \Phi_j \delta q_j \longrightarrow (1.48)$$

where

$$\Phi_j \equiv \sum_i \bar{F}_i^a \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{x}_i}{\partial q_j} \longrightarrow (1.49)$$

Note $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{\pi}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \left(\frac{d \bar{\pi}_i}{dt} \right)$

$$= \frac{\partial \bar{V}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j}$$

$$\therefore \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{\pi}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) = \frac{\partial \bar{V}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \quad \longrightarrow \quad (1.505)$$

Also $\bar{V}_i \equiv \frac{d \bar{\pi}_i}{dt} = \sum_k \left(\frac{\partial \bar{\pi}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) \dot{q}_k + \frac{\partial \bar{\pi}_i}{\partial t}$

where $\dot{q}_k \equiv \frac{dq_k}{dt}$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \bar{V}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} = \sum_k \left(\frac{\partial \bar{\pi}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) \delta_{kj}$$

where $\delta_{kj} \equiv$ Kronecker Delta function

$$\Rightarrow \delta_{kj} = 1, \quad \forall k=j$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \bar{V}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} = \frac{\partial \bar{\pi}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \quad \longrightarrow \quad (1.51)$$

Kinetic energy T_i is related to $\bar{\pi}_i$

$$T_i \equiv \frac{\bar{p}_i^2}{2m_i}, \quad T \equiv \sum_i T_i$$

Now $\dot{\bar{p}}_i \cdot \delta \bar{x}_i$

$$= \sum_j \left(\frac{d}{dt} \bar{p}_i \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) \delta q_j$$

$$= \sum_j \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \left[\bar{p}_i \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{x}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right] - \bar{p}_i \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) \right\} \delta q_j$$

[Using 1.505 & 1.51]

$$= \sum_j \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \left[\bar{p}_i \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{v}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right] - \bar{p}_i \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{v}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right\} \delta q_j$$

[Using $\bar{v}_i = \bar{p}_i / m_i$]

$$= \sum_j \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \left[\bar{p}_i \cdot \frac{\partial (\bar{p}_i / m_i)}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right] - \bar{p}_i \cdot \frac{\partial (\bar{p}_i / m_i)}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right\} \delta q_j$$

$$= \sum_j \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) - \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right\} \delta q_j = \dot{\bar{p}}_i \cdot \delta \bar{x}_i$$

$$\therefore \sum_j \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_j} - Q_j \right\} \delta q_j = 0$$

Since $\{q_i\}$ are independent coordinates we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_j} = Q_j \quad \rightarrow (1.53)$$

Suppose $F_i^a = -\nabla_i V(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_N)$

$$\text{Then } Q_j = \sum_i F_i^a \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{x}_i}{\partial q_j}$$

$$= \sum_i \nabla_i V \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{x}_i}{\partial q_j}$$

$$\rightarrow = - \sum_i (\nabla_i V) \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{x}_i}{\partial q_j} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_j}$$

$$\therefore Q_j = \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_j} \quad \rightarrow (1.54)$$

Since V does not depend on any velocities $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \dot{q}_j} = 0, \forall j$.

\therefore (1.53) can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_j} = 0 \quad \rightarrow (1.57)$$

where $L \equiv T - V$ is defined

as the Lagrangian of the system.

Now suppose as an alternative to (1.54) we have

$$Q_j = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial q_j} + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) \rightarrow (1.58)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } U &= U(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m, \dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, \dots, \dot{q}_m, t) \\ &\equiv U(\{q_i\}, \{\dot{q}_i\}, t) \end{aligned}$$

Then (1.58) with (1.53) again leads to Lagrange's equations (1.57) with

$$L \equiv T - U.$$

$$\text{Consider } U = q_j \phi(\bar{r}, t) - q_j \bar{v} \cdot \bar{A}(\bar{r}, t)$$

where q_j is the charge on a particle at \bar{r} moving with velocity \bar{v} .

$$\therefore L = \frac{mv^2}{2} - q_j \phi + q_j \bar{A} \cdot \bar{v}$$

Let \hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2 and \hat{e}_3 represent a set of

orthogonal unit vectors along the orthogonal set of co-ordinates axes.

such as ~~X~~ X, Y, Z axes.

13

$$\therefore q_i \equiv \bar{\pi} \cdot \hat{e}_i, \quad i=1,2,3 \text{ and}$$

$$\dot{q}_i \equiv \bar{v} \cdot \hat{e}_i.$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\bar{\pi} \cdot \hat{e}_i)} = -q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial (\bar{\pi} \cdot \hat{e}_i)}$$

$$+ q \sum_{k=1}^3 (\bar{v} \cdot \hat{e}_k) \frac{\partial (\bar{A} \cdot \hat{e}_k)}{\partial (\bar{\pi} \cdot \hat{e}_i)}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial (\bar{v} \cdot \hat{e}_i)} = m (\bar{v} \cdot \hat{e}_i) + q (\bar{A} \cdot \hat{e}_i)$$

$$\therefore \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial (\bar{v} \cdot \hat{e}_i)} \right] = m \frac{d(\bar{v} \cdot \hat{e}_i)}{dt} + q \frac{\partial (\bar{A} \cdot \hat{e}_i)}{\partial t}$$

$$+ q \sum_{k=1}^3 (\bar{v} \cdot \hat{e}_k) \frac{\partial (\bar{A} \cdot \hat{e}_k)}{\partial (\bar{\pi} \cdot \hat{e}_i)}$$

\therefore putting all these terms together we get

$$m \frac{d(\bar{v} \cdot \hat{e}_i)}{dt} = -q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial (\bar{\pi} \cdot \hat{e}_i)} - q \frac{\partial (\bar{A} \cdot \hat{e}_i)}{\partial t}$$

$$+ q \sum_{k=1}^3 (\bar{v} \cdot \hat{e}_k) \left[\frac{\partial (\bar{A} \cdot \hat{e}_k)}{\partial (\bar{\pi} \cdot \hat{e}_i)} - \frac{\partial (\bar{A} \cdot \hat{e}_i)}{\partial (\bar{\pi} \cdot \hat{e}_k)} \right], \quad \forall i=1,2,3$$

If we identify

$$\vec{E} \equiv -\vec{\nabla}\phi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$

and $\vec{B} \equiv \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$ as the

electromagnetic fields then the 3 Lagrange's equations may be combined as

$$\vec{F} \equiv m\vec{a} = q\vec{E} + q(\vec{v} \times \vec{B}),$$

giving the Lorentz force on a particle moving in an electromagnetic field.

Now consider as an alternative to

(1.54)

$$\Phi_j = \frac{-\partial V}{\partial q_j} + \Phi_j' \quad \text{where}$$

$$\Phi_j' \equiv \sum_i \vec{F}_i^{nc} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial q_j} \quad \rightarrow \quad (1.665)$$

$$\text{where } \vec{F}_i^a \equiv -\vec{\nabla}_i V + \vec{F}_i^{nc}$$

$nc \equiv \text{non-conservative.}$

Then (1.57) is modified to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_j} = \Phi_j' \quad \longrightarrow \quad (1.575)$$

where $L \equiv T - V$ as before.

This form is useful for dissipative forces.

Consider Rayleigh's dissipation function

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^3 (\bar{k} \cdot \hat{e}_i) (\bar{v}_p \cdot \hat{e}_i)^2$$

where \bar{k} is a constant vector

Let

$$\bar{F}_p^{nc} = -\bar{\nabla}_{v_p} \mathcal{F}$$

Note p stands for the particle index and i for components.

Then (1.665) becomes

$$\Phi_j' = \sum_p \bar{F}_p^{nc} \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{r}_p}{\partial \dot{q}_j} = - \sum_p (\bar{\nabla}_{v_p} \mathcal{F}) \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{r}_p}{\partial \dot{q}_j}$$

$$= - \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right), \quad \text{where we use (1.51)}$$

Hence we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_j} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \dot{q}_j} = 0$$

The work done by the system of particles against \vec{F}_p^{nc} is

$$dW_{nc} = -\sum_p \vec{F}_p^{nc} \cdot d\vec{r}_p$$

$$= -\sum_p \left[-(\vec{\nabla}_p \mathcal{F}) \cdot \vec{v}_p dt \right]$$

$$= 2\mathcal{F} dt$$

Hence $2\mathcal{F} = \frac{dW_{nc}}{dt}$ = power dissipated by the system.

Study section 1.6 of the text as home-work. Ask questions next time.