Table 8. Scaling						
Sequence	B_{α}	d_{lpha}	p_{lpha}	B_{eta}	d_{eta}	p_{eta}
Mg	2427	2.43	3.18	1148	0.61	3.37
Zn	504.7	1.96	2.42	2545	1.74	3.40
Cd	548.0	2.04	2.38	2667	1.78	3.28
Hg	231.4	2.05	2.08	1509	2.09	3.15

so the ratio of the bracketing width to the lower limit of β decreases even faster, as $1/\zeta^2$. For α_d the relationship is more complicated, but for very large Z the bracketing and the upper limit scale together.

6.3. Charge scaling of the results

The polarizability data in tables 4 - 7 were fitted to the charge scaling equations

$$\alpha_d = \frac{B_\alpha}{(\zeta + d_\alpha)^{p_\alpha}} ; \qquad \beta = \frac{B_\beta}{(\zeta + d_\beta)^{p_\beta}} .$$
(33)

The fitted values are listed in table 8.

7. Relativistic effects in the Hg sequence

Homologous comparisons of these four isoelectronic sequences reveal interesting trends. It can be seen from tables 4-7 that the oscillator strengths $f_{ns,np}$ decrease with increasing ionicity, consistent with the $\Delta n = 0$ scaling with $1/\zeta$ that was predicted in equation (30). However, table 7 reveals that for the Hg sequence the oscillator $f_{6s,6p}$ is nearly constant over the sequence, as would be expected for a $\Delta n \neq 0$ transition as predicted in equation (29). In all four sequences the $E_{ns,np}$ energy decreases with ζ as expected, so the difference in scaling resides in the line strength factor.

The origin of this behavior lies in significant relativistic corrections that affect Hg and its isoelectronic sequence [76, 77]. In earlier studies of the Cd [45] and Hg [31] sequences, multiconfiguration Dirac Hartree Fock (MCDHF) calculations showed that $6s^2$ and 6s6p remain lower than plunging levels from the 5f and 5g subshells for all ions through uranium. In contrast, for the Cd sequence, plunging levels from the 4f subshell perturb the 5s5p levels above Z=60 and for Z > 62 the 4f levels replace $5s^2$ as the ground state. Moreover, whereas the mixing angle reduction could be accomplished using the Schrödinger formalism with LS coupling for the Mg, Zn, and Cd sequences, MCDHF calculations for the Hg sequence indicated a significant difference between the Dirac transition matrices $\langle s_{1/2} | r | p_{1/2} \rangle$ and $\langle s_{1/2} | r | p_{3/2} \rangle$, requiring use of the Dirac formalism and jj coupling.

The reasons for these observations are closely related to the unusual fact that mercury is a liquid at ambient temperatures. The 6s electron (and each s electron in the core) is drawn in because of relativistic effects at small r. It can be made plausible in terms of the Bohr orbit picture, since the speed of the 6s electron at periapsis is $v \approx Zc/137$ (for Z=80, v = 0.58c). The increase in the relativistic mass causes the effective Bohr radius to shrink (although Zitterbewegung and the Darwin term decrease the effect somewhat). Moreover, the magnetic coupling of the two

paired s electrons is enhanced by the predominance of jj coupling, since spin-ownorbit coupling to the nucleus dominates over spin-spin, orbit-orbit and spin-other-orbit coupling to other electrons. Thus mercury atoms and ions in the Hg sequence behave more like an inert gas than an alkaline earth.

It has been noted [76] that gold and mercury differ in melting points, densities, electrical conductivities, the ability to amalgamate with noble metals, *etc.*, by greater factors than virtually any other pair of neighbors in the Periodic table. Similarly, Tl II is more stable than Tl I, Pb III is more stable than Pb II, and Bi IV is more stable than Bi III. Relativistic calculations have also explained the difference in color between gold and silver [77].

Only the first four members of the Hg sequence are radioactively stable, and measurements of the atomic structure properties of its radioactive members are lacking. However, the atomic properties of the radioactive members have applications in, *e.g.*, modeling calculations of radiation transfer in astrophysical and controlled fusion. Thus semiempirical extrapolations can provide useful estimates for the ions in this sequence with $Z \geq 84$.

8. Conclusions

For atomic systems in which the ground state oscillator strength is dominantly concentrated in one low-lying resonance transition, the method described here provides a powerful means to interconnect measurements and predictions of the quantities α_d , β , and τ . If a precision measurement of τ is available, α_d and β can be deduced. Alternatively, if a precision measurement of α_d is available, τ and β can be deduced. Moreover, screening parametrizations of line strength data permit isoelectronic interpolation of a few precise measurements to obtain estimates of these quantities for the entire sequence. The Mg, Zn, Cd and Hg sequences satisfy these criteria very well, and the results presented here provide an extensive data base spanning both homologous and isoelectronic sequences.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by NASA Grants NAG5-11440 and NNG06GC70G, and by the National Science Foundation Grant to the University of Toledo Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) Program. We are grateful to the anonymous reviewer for insightful comments.

References

- B. Edlén B 1964 Atomic Spectra Handb. der Physik XXVII ed S Flügge (Berlin: Springer) pp 80-220, section 20
- [2] Dalgarno A and Kingston A E 1959 Proc. Phys. Soc. 74 455-64
- [3] Curtis L J 2007 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 40 3173-80
- [4] Curtis L J, Berry H G and Bromander J 1971 Phys. Lett. **34A** 169-70
- [5] Curtis L J 2003 Atomic Structure and Lifetimes: A Conceptual Approach (Cambridge Univ. Press: Cambridge UK)
- [6] Kuhn W 1925 Z. Physik 33 408-12
- [7] Reiche F and Thomas W 1925 Z. Physik 34 510-25
- [8] Curtis L J 1991 Can. J. Phys. 69 668-70
- [9] Miller T M and Bederson B 1977 Adv. At. Mol. Phys. 13, 1-55
- [10] Kleinman C J, Hahn Y and Spruch L 1968 Phys. Rev. 165 53-62

- [11] Johnson W R, Kolb D and Huang K-N 1983 At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 28 333-40
- [12] Snow E and Lundeen S R 2007 Phys. Rev. A, in press
- [13] Kuske P, Kirchner N, Wittman W, Andrä H J and Kaiser D 1978 Phys. Lett. A 64 377
- [14] Andrä H J 1976 "Beam-Foil Spectroscopy, Vol. 2," eds. Sellin I A and Pegg D J (Plenum New York) p.835.
- [15] Guet C and Johnson W R 1991 Phys. Rev. A 44, 1531-1535
- [16] Curtis L J 1989 Phys. Rev. A 40 6958-68
- [17] Komara R A, Gearba M A, Fehrenbach C W and Lundeen S R 2005 J. Phys B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 38 S87-S95
- [18] NIST Physical Reference Data, http://physics.nist.gov/PhysRefData/contents.html
 [19] Tachiev G and Froese Fischer C 2002 "The MCHF/MCDHF Collection"
- [19] Tachiev G and Froese Fischer C 2002 "The MCHF/MCDHF Collection" http://atoms.vuse.vanderbilt.edu
- [20] Berry H G, Bromander J, Curtis L J and Buchta R 1971 Phys. Scr. 3 125-132
- [21] Livingston A E, Dumont P D, Baudinet-Robinet Y, Garnir H P, Biémont E and Grevesse N 1976 Beam-Foil Spectroscopy, eds I A Sellin and D J Pegg (Plenum, New York) 339-346
- [22] Safronova U, Johnson W R and Berry H G 2000 Phys. Rev. A 61, 052503:1-11
- [23] Magnusson C E and Zetterberg P O 1977 Phys. Scr. 15 237-250
- [24] Curtis L J, Martinson I and Buchta R 1971 Phys. Scr. 3 197-202
- [25] Livingston A E, Kernahan J A, Irwin D J G and Pinnington E H 1975 Phys. Scr. 12 223-229
- [26] Lundeen S R and Fahrenbach C W 2007 Phys. Rev. A 75 032523 1-7
- [27] Pinnington E H, Ansbacher W and Kernahan J A 1984 J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 1 30-3
- [28] Liu L, Hutton R, Zou Y, Andersson M and Brage T 2006 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 39 3147-58
- [29] Chou H-S, Chi H-C and Huang K-N 1994 Phys. Rev. A 49 2394-8
- [30] Ross C B, Wood D R and Scholl P S 1976 J. Opt. Soc. Am. 66 36-9
- [31] Curtis L J, Irving R E, Henderson M, Matulioniene R, Froese Fischer C and Pinnington E. 2001 Phys. Rev. A 63 042502:1-7
- [32] Haar R R, Beideck D J, Curtis L J, Kvale T J, Sen A, Schectman R M and Stevens H 1993 Nuc. Instr. Meth. in Phys. Res. 79 746-8
- [33] Haar R R and Curtis L J 1994 Nuc. Instr. Meth. in Phys. Res. 79 782-4
- [34] Provencher S W 1976 J. Chem. Phys 64 2772-7
- [35] Reistad N, Jupén C, Huldt S, Engström L and Martinson I 1985 Phys. Scr. 32 164-8
- [36] Curtis L J 1971 "Proc. 2nd European Conf. on Beam Foil Spectroscopy " ed. M. Dufay, ed, Lyon France.
- [37] Hutton R, Reistad N, Martinson I, Träbert E, Heckmann P H, Blanke J H, Hellmann H M and Hucke R 1987 Phys. Scr. 35 300-2
- [38] Engström L, Bengtsson P, Jupén C, Livingston A E and Martinson I 1995 Phys. Rev. A 51 179-84
- [39] Reistad N, Engström L and Berry H G 1986 Phys. Scr. 34 158-63
- [40] Träbert E, Pinnington E H, Kernahan J A, Doerfert J, Granzow J, Heckmann P H and Hutton R 1996 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 29 2647-59
- [41] Hutton R, Engström L and Träbert E 1988 Nucl. Instr. Meth. in Phys. Res. B 31 294-9
- [42] Savitzky A and Golay M J E 1964 Analytical Chemistry 36 1627-39
- [43] Curtis L J 1991 Phys. Scr. 43 137-43
- [44] Curtis L 1992 J. Opt. Soc. Am. 9 5-9
- [45] Curtis L J, Matulioniene R, Ellis D G and Froese Fischer C 2000 Phys. Rev. A 62 052513:1-7
- [46] Curtis L J, Ellis D G and Martinson I 1995 Phys. Rev. A 51 251-6
- [47] Liljeby L, Lindgård A, Mannervik S, Veje E and Jelenkovic B 1979 Phys. Scr. 21 805
- [48] Kernahan, J A, Pinnington E H, O'Neill J A, Brooks R L and Donnelly K E 1979 Phys. Scr. 19 267-70
- [49] Biémont E, Dumont P-D, Garnir H P, Palmeri P and Quinet P 2002 Eur. J. Phys. 20 199-204
- [50] Martinson I, Curtis L J, Huldt S, Litzén U, Liljeby L, Mannervik S and Jelenkovic B 1979 Phys. Scr. 19 17-21
- [51] Andersen T, Eriksen P, Poulsen O and Ramanujam P S 1979 Phys. Rev. A 20 2621-4
- [52] Kaufman V and Sugar J 1987 J. Opt. Soc. Am. 4 1919-23
- [53] Lurio A and Novick R 1964 Phys. Rev. **134** A608-14
- [54] Ansbacher W, Pinnington E H, Kernahan J A and Gosselin R N 1986 Can. J. Phys. 64 1365-8
- [55] Pinnington E H, Kernahan J A and Ansbacher W 1987 Can. J. Phys. 65 7-12
- [56] Pinnington E H, Ansbacher W, Kernahan J A, Gosselin R N, Bahr J L and Inamdar A S 1985 J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 2 1653-7
- [57] Pinnington E H, Ansbacher W and Kernahan J A 1987 J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 4 696-7

- [58] Pinnington E H, Ansbacher W, Kernahan J A and Inamdar A S 1985 J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 2 331-5
- [59] Ansbacher W, Pinnington E H, Tauheed A and Kernahan J A 1991 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 24 587-93
- [60] O'Neill J A, Pinnington E H, Donnelly K E and Brooks R L 1979 Phys. Scr. 20 60-4
- [61] Kernahan J A, Pinnington E H, O'Neill J A, Bahr J L and Donnelly K E 1980 J. Opt. Soc. Am. 70 1126-30
- [62] Moore C E 1952 Atomic Energy Levels, Vol. 3, NSRDS-NBS 35 (Reissued Dec. 1971).
- [63] Andersen T and Sørensen G 1973 J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiative Transf. 13 369-76
- [64] Lurio A 1965 Phys. Rev. A 140 A1505-8
- [65] Skerbele A and Lassetre E N 1972 J. Chem. Phys. 52 2708-11
- [66] Abjean R and Johannin-Gilles A 1976 J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiative Transf. 16 369-71
- [67] Pinnington E H, Ansbacher W, Kernahan J A, Ahmad T and Ge Z-Q 1988 Can. J. Phys. 66 960-2
- [68] Jean P, Martin M and Lecler D 1967 C. R. Seances Acad. Sci. Ser. B 264 1709-12
- [69] Henderson M and Curtis L J 1996 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 29 L629-34
- [70] Ansbacher W, Pinnington E H and Kernahan J A 1988 Can. J. Phys. 66 402-4
- [71] Ansbacher W, Pinnington E H, Tauheed A and Kernahan J A 1989 Phys. Scr. 40 454-6
- [72] Frage S, Karwowski J and Saxena K M A 1976 Handbook of Atomic Data Elsivier, Amsterdam
- [73] Cowan R D 1981 The Theory of Atomic Structure and Spectra (Univ. California Press, Berkeley)
- [74] Biémont E, Garnir H P, Palmeri P, Li Z S and Svanberg S 2000 Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 312 116-22
- [75] Henderson M, Curtis L J, Matulioniene R, Ellis D G and Theodosiou C E 1997 Phys. Rev. A 56 1872-8
- [76] Norby L J 1991 J. Chem. Edu. 68 110-3
- [77] Pyykkö P 1988 Chemical Reviews 88 563-94